

Original Article



The assessment of functional indices of left ventricular wall layers in cases with normal and high blood pressure by layer-specific strain methods

Mehrnoosh Toufan Tabrizi¹, Naser Khezerlu², Venus Shahabi Rabori^{3*}, Ali Heidari Sarvestani⁴

¹Professor of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

²Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³Fellowship of Echo, Urmia University of Medical Sciences, Urmia, Iran

⁴Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz, Iran

Article info

Article History:

Received: 19 Nov. 2020

Accepted: 26 Dec. 2020

e-Published: 21 May 2022

Keywords:

- Ventricular
- Myocardium
- Normotensive
- Hypertensive

Abstract

Introduction: The arterial hypertension leading to left ventricular remodeling induces accentuated ventricular wall thickness because of higher afterload. The several surveys about myocardial function in such cases were conducted by imaging studies for the evaluation of myocardial wall thickness without separating the different layers of each other. The aim of this investigation was the evaluation of the functional indices of each layer of the left ventricular myocardium by staining analysis of layers and demonstration of the relationship between ventricular structural remodeling and the functional changes of the ventricle myocardial layers in persons with normal and high blood pressure.

Methods: 88 cases (46.6% normotensive and 53.4% hypertensive) were studied by two-dimensional echocardiography and longitudinal and circumferential strain indices. These differentiated variables of heart layers (epicardium, mid myocardium, endocardium) were evaluated. All of them had been analyzed and compared in terms of diastolic dysfunction were compared. Normal diastolic function was defined as: E/A = 1 to 2 and deceleration time = 150 to 200 ms.

Results: Global longitudinal strain (GLS) and global circumferential strain (GCS) strains remarkably declined in epicardial and middle layers of myocardial in hypertensive cases with diastolic dysfunction, although this alternation did not reveal in cases without diastolic dysfunction.

Conclusion: The decreasing of GLS and GCS indices of mid-myocardial and epicardial layers have been predicted in cases with high pressure, and the evidences of this situation had been observed in cases with ventricular diastolic dysfunction.

Introduction

Although many long-term benefits for the treatment and control of hypertension such as reduced risk of stroke, renal failure or myocardial infarction have been well documented, an important part of the need for hypertension control is related to prevent left ventricular hypertrophy and thus to reduce its adverse consequences. Left ventricular hypertrophy and its associated ventricular wall abnormalities are mainly the result of an excessive overload and chronic response to ventricular wall injury and are thus considered as an important risk factor in hypertensive patients. In the Framingham Heart Study, even the presence of borderline hypertension in the old cases has been correlated with higher thickness of heart wall and subsequent ventricular diastolic filling defect.¹ Patients with moderate arterial hypertension may also

experience extensive changes in ventricular mass, from normal condition to severe hypertrophy. In addition, left ventricular remodeling may have an eccentric or concentric form, independent of the hypertension intensity. Since hypertension does not always lead to left ventricular hypertrophy, simultaneous evaluation of both cardiac indices such as hypertension and assessment of left ventricular wall stress condition is essential. Diagnosis and finding of left ventricular hypertrophy is essential because high cardiac mortality and morbidity will be approximately two to four times higher than patients with normal left ventricular mass.^{2,3}

Another important issue about the incidence and detection of left ventricular hypertrophy in the context of hypertension is to evaluate this event through various imaging modalities, especially focusing on changes in

*Corresponding Author: Venus Shahabi Raberi, Email: jasmin_vsh@yahoo.com

left ventricular wall function which can be detected by ventricular strain analysis. First, it should be remembered that secondary left ventricular hypertrophy is a major pathological finding of hypertension coupled with histological changes in the ventricular wall, such as stimulation of fibroblast growth, incidence of interstitial fibrosis, and ultimately structural remodeling in the left ventricular wall.^{4,5} Therefore, strong evidence suggests that in secondary left ventricular hypertrophy due to essential hypertension, shrinkage of the left ventricle volume is associated with increased diastolic filling and decreased coronary blood flow reserve and ultimately ventricular wall hypertrophy. In particular, evaluation of diastolic filling by Doppler echocardiography would be very valuable.⁶

Another important point regarding the effect of hypertension on the left ventricle is the induction of reverse remodeling to the incidence of heart failure.^{7,8} This change increase both the size of the cardiomyocytes and the accumulation of fibrosis in the extracellular matrix. These pathological changes will eventually have heterogeneous effects on the left ventricular wall.^{9,10} The left ventricular myocardial layers contain myocardial fibers with distinctive features such that the longitudinal fibers in the subendocardial layer gradually switch to the circumferential format in the middle layer and eventually recapitulate in the subepicardial layer.¹¹ Two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography allows the quantitative evaluation of local strain deformities.^{12,13} The change in left ventricular strain in a cardiac cycle has a close relationship with the structural status of ventricular myofibers.¹² Among these features, longitudinal strain is of particular interest because its clinical significance is extremely high in patients with heart failure as well as in hypertensive patients.^{14,15} Some studies have shown that the status of longitudinal left ventricular strain changes has a strong relationship with the physical and functional capacity of patients as well as the prognosis of patients.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ However, what still remains to be a fundamental question is how in the context of hypertension, changes in the left ventricular wall strain will be particularly relevant to varying degrees of left ventricular hypertrophy.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on patients in two hypertensive and normotensive groups with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) greater than 50% without evidence of ischemic heart disease or congestive heart failure. All patients with valvular disorders, different types of arrhythmias, as well as a history of renal failure or diabetes mellitus were excluded.

Echocardiographic measurement

All patients underwent two-dimensional echocardiography according to standardized procedures on EPIC (Philips Ultrasound Machine), 2-dimensionally

guided tracings recorded during at least 4 consecutive cycles and longitudinal and circumferential strain indices in all three endocardial layers (epicardium, mid myocardium, endocardium) were measured offline. Strain measurements were reevaluated in a second review by an expert echocardiologist and data agreement was acceptable: Pearson correlation of global circumferential strain (GCS) indices = 0.774, Pearson correlation of global longitudinal strain (GLS) indices = 0.523. All parameters evaluated in terms of diastolic dysfunction were compared in two groups. Normal diastolic function was defined as E/A = 1 to 2 or deceleration time = 150 to 200 ms.

The results were analyzed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and were summarized by absolute frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Normality of data was analyzed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test. The statistical software SPSS16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used. *P* values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

Result

A total of 88 patients were included in this study. The mean age of patients was 45.30 ± 11.50 years, ranging from 21 to 82 years. In terms of sex distribution, 37 cases (42.0%) were male and 51 cases (58.0%) were female. All patients were non-diabetic. Overall, 41 (46.6%) were normotensive and 47 (53.4%) were hypertensive. The prevalence of diastolic dysfunction was estimated to be 41 (46.6%) considering E/A <1.0 or deceleration time >250 ms.

In a subgroup of patients with diastolic dysfunction, among all echocardiographic parameters, the hypertensive patients had significantly higher mean left ventricular mass index, higher mean interventricular septal (IVS) thickness, as well as higher mean posterior wall (PW) thickness. Hypertensive group had significantly lower mean GLS in mid myocardial and epicardial layers, as well as lower mean global circumferential strain (GCS) in these layers (Table 1). In the group without diastolic dysfunction (Table 2), we found significantly higher mean left ventricular mass index, higher mean IVS thickness, as well as higher mean PW thickness, but without any significant difference in global longitudinal and circumferential strain indices between hypertensive and normotensive subgroups.

Discussion

Left ventricular remodeling caused by hypertension is a well-known phenomenon that can be evaluated by echocardiographic techniques. In recent decades, new echocardiographic techniques such as speckle tracking echocardiography have made it possible to evaluate mechanical changes of the left ventricle in the context of hypertension. The evaluation of the strain index as an important part of the evaluation of the ventricular wall in various layers of the ventricle in these patients has received much attention. In this regard, evaluation of the left

Table 1. Echocardiography parameters in patients with diastolic dysfunction

Parameter	With hypertension	Without hypertension	P value
Mean E velocity	0.64±0.17	0.64±0.13	0.963
Mean E/A ratio	0.82±0.16	0.80±0.18	0.777
Mean declaration time	204.17±56.49	171.75±53.40	0.155
Mean E' velocity	7.92±3.5	9.79±3.00	0.156
Mean E/E' ratio	7.83±2.43	6.19±2.13	0.076
Mean EDD	4.22±1.54	4.34±0.48	0.825
Mean PW	1.16±0.21	0.87±0.08	0.001
Mean IVS	1.17±0.23	0.86±0.12	0.001
Mean BSA	1.81±0.27	1.78±0.14	0.763
Mean LV mass index	107.09±29.30	66.22±12.26	0.001
Mean endocardial GLS	-15.79±2.71	-14.45±10.35	0.506
Mean mid myocardial GLS	-14.42±2.35	-16.14±2.47	0.046
Mean epicardial GLS	-12.43±5.66	-15.23±2.69	0.043
Mean endocardial GCS	-24.40±9.80	-29.30±5.08	0.140
Mean mid myocardial GCS	-17.90±2.99	-20.16±5.40	0.023
Mean epicardial GCS	-10.60±3.19	-14.25±4.29	0.008

Abbreviations: GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain; IVS, interventricular septal; PW, posterior wall; BSA, body surface area; EDD, end diastolic dimension .

ventricular strain, especially the longitudinal strain in the triple layers of the ventricular wall, was a stronger predictor of cardiovascular morbidity and morbidity than the LVEF index. Given that hypertensive heart disease is one of the important risk factors for the development of heart failure and impaired cardiac systolic and diastolic function even in cases with normal LVEF, careful determination of ventricular strain changes in various layers in the presence of hypertension is essential. In particular, the impact of hypertension in the background of ventricular diastolic dysfunction on ventricular wall dimensionality remains to be elucidated. What we focused on in the present study was to evaluate and compare strain changes in the triple layers of the ventricular wall in hypertensive and normotensive patients in the presence or absence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction.

In this study, patients were classified into hypertensive and normotensive groups; then both GLS and GCS indices were evaluated in three layers of endocardial, mid myocardial and epicardial ventricular walls. The presence of ventricular diastolic dysfunction as a confounding factor in the effect of hypertension on ventricular strain was considered important. The important finding of this study was the significant effect of hypertension on the reduction of GLS and GCS in mid myocardial and epicardial layers in the presence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. In other words, first, the presence of diastolic dysfunction in the reduction of left ventricular wall strain in the context of hypertension seems to be essential as a trigger factor; therefore, in cases of preserved diastolic function,

Table 2. Echocardiography parameters in patients without diastolic dysfunction

Parameter	With hypertension	Without hypertension	P value
Mean E velocity	0.81±0.17	0.75±0.14	0.187
Mean E/A ratio	1.31±0.30	1.47±0.97	0.517
Mean declaration time	180.50±31.10	155.81±43.84	0.054
Mean E' velocity	10.29±3.00	12.16±2.81	0.054
Mean E/E' ratio	8.16±2.51	6.75±2.55	0.090
Mean EDD	4.60±0.31	4.64±0.43	0.768
Mean PW	1.03±0.19	0.84±0.10	0.001
Mean IVS	1.01±0.17	0.84±0.10	0.001
Mean BSA	1.97±0.31	1.93±0.16	0.585
Mean LV mass Index	86.50±22.51	67.33±15.42	0.001
Mean endocardial GLS	-17.01±1.60	-18.30±3.26	0.248
Mean myocardial GLS	-15.77±1.48	-17.17±1.73	0.069
Mean epicardial GLS	-14.35±1.63	-15.41±1.94	0.068
Mean endocardial GCS	-25.25±16.68	-28.53±3.31	0.293
Mean myocardial GCS	-17.83±9.33	-18.27±8.16	0.868
Mean epicardial GCS	-12.40±4.21	-12.94±2.76	0.599

Abbreviations: GLS, global longitudinal strain; GCS, global circumferential strain; IVS, interventricular septal; PW, posterior wall; BSA, body surface area; EDD, end diastolic dimension.

left ventricular wall strain involvement may not be very noticeable. Second, there was no evidence of any decrease in endocardial layer strain and therefore no endocardial layer involvement; it is possible that our sample cases were mostly in the early stages of hypertension with lesser chronicity and better medically controlled disease and if this study was performed in different patient group with poorly controlled hypertension, or patients with longer history and advanced stages of disease, would have shown that uncontrolled hypertension may eventually lead to endocardial layer strain reduction later in the disease course. Overall, it can be concluded that proper control of hypertension in patients with a history of hypertension, especially in the context of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, will lead to improved left ventricular wall function and thus improved prognosis.

Impaired strain in various layers of the left ventricular wall in the background of hypertension has been studied and confirmed in various studies, although the stimulatory effect of diastolic dysfunction in such disorder has been indicated in few studies. In Tadic et al study,¹⁸ GLS was significantly lower in hypertensive men than in normotensive patients. The major factor in the development of left ventricular remodeling following hypertension was the effect of sex hormones and its associated biohormonal systems. The results of the above study were similar to ours, but these changes were not limited to a specific gender. Diastolic dysfunction was also a triggering factor in our study. In Navarini et al study,¹⁹ there was no difference between the hypertensive and the normotensive groups in terms of left ventricular

volume and LVEF. GLS values in the two groups were -15.1 and -18.5, GCS values were -15.2 and -19.9, and GRS values were + 44.0 and + 63.4, respectively indicating a difference between the two groups that was consistent with our study. In Tadic et al study,²⁰ and quite unlike our study, a decrease in left ventricular strain in hypertensive patients was restricted to the endocardial layer, although in our study, the presence of diabetes was also considered as an exclusion indicator while this has not been the case in their study. In a study by Craciunescu et al²¹ and similar to our study, both GLS and GCS were significantly lower in the group with uncontrolled hypertension than in patients with controlled hypertension. In a study by Nagata et al,²² the GLS and GLS values in the endocardial layer were higher than in the other layers, and this may justify strain retention in the context of hypertension in the endocardial layer. In Kim et al study,²³ the evaluation of strain in each layer showed a decreasing endocardial gradient toward the epicardium in both groups with and without hypertension, but there was a significant difference between the two groups in all three layers. In a study by Sharif et al,²⁴ patients with diastolic dysfunction experienced a relative decrease in GLS in all three myocardial layers compared to patients without diastolic dysfunction, which was consistent with the findings of our study. Toufan et al study²⁵ demonstrated that in heart failure with preserved LVEF (HFNEF) patients with diastolic dysfunction; the global, basal, mid and apical peak systolic longitudinal strains (PSLSs) were significantly lower compared to control group. They observed a significant positive correlation between the global PSLS and the septal e' , as well as a negative correlation between the global PSLS and the E/e' ratio. Results also revealed negative correlations between the IVRT and the global PSLS. Similar results were observed in our study in which diastolic dysfunction was substantial factor in the left ventricular wall strain decrement in hypertensive patients. all these studies demonstrate that strain imaging can uncover some degree of systolic dysfunction despite a preserved LVEF indicated by conventional echocardiography. What can be emphasized as a final result is that prolonged and uncontrolled hypertension with intensification of cardiomyocyte growth as well as cardiomyocyte fibrosis secondary to inflammatory and growth factors secretion can induce left ventricular hypertrophy and thereby reduction of strain indices in the layers, especially mid myocardial and epicardial layers. Of course, the role of ventricular diastolic dysfunction is very important in determining these changes.

Conclusion

As a final conclusion, a decrease in GLS and GCS indices of mid myocardial and epicardial ventricular wall layers is predictable in the context of hypertension, and this is significantly evident in patients with ventricular diastolic dysfunction. It seems that more studies on larger sample

Study Highlights

What is current knowledge?

- The arterial hypertension leading to left ventricular remodeling induces accentuated ventricular wall thickness because of higher afterload.

What is new here?

- The decreasing of GLS and GCS indices of mid-myocardial and epicardial layers have been predicted in cases with high pressure, and the evidences of this situation had been observed in cases with ventricular diastolic dysfunction

patients with more chronic and advanced hypertension as well as considering patients' drug history as variable, are required to achieve the most confident conclusion.

Acknowledgments

We would like to extend our sincere gratitude and appreciation to the staffs of Heart Shahid Madani Hospital, Cardiovascular Research for their participation and Author.

Author's Contribution

MTT and NK provided assistance in the design of the study and constructing an idea or hypothesis for research and/or manuscript and planning methodology to reach the conclusion. VSR and AHS and NK referred patients and assisted in statistical analysis. MTT, VRS and NK participated in data gathering and manuscript editing. VRS and MTT carried out the design of study and manuscript and taking responsibility in the construction of the whole or body of the manuscript.

Funding

There was no funding support.

Ethical Approval

This study was accepted by the ethical committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (No. IR.TBZMED.REC.1398.125).

Conflict of interest

The authors stated that they had no conflict of interest.

References

1. Sagie A, Benjamin EJ, Galderisi M, Larson MG, Evans JC, Fuller DL, et al. Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular structure and diastolic filling in elderly subjects with borderline isolated systolic hypertension (the Framingham Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 1993;72(9):662-5. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(93)90881-c.
2. Casale PN, Devereux RB, Milner M, Zullo G, Harshfield GA, Pickering TG, et al. Value of echocardiographic measurement of left ventricular mass in predicting cardiovascular morbid events in hypertensive men. *Ann Intern Med.* 1986;105(2):173-8. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-105-2-173.
3. Sugishita Y, Iida K, Fujieda K, Yukisada K. Decreased adrenergic response in hypertensive patients without left ventricular hypertrophy. *Clin Cardiol.* 1994;17(2):71-6. doi: 10.1002/clc.4960170206.
4. Katholi RE. Left ventricular hypertrophy: strategies for prevention and regression. *Midwest Health.* 2000;2:26-32.
5. Ching GW, Franklyn JA, Stallard TJ, Daykin J, Sheppard MC, Gammage MD. Cardiac hypertrophy as a result of long-term

- thyroxine therapy and thyrotoxicosis. *Heart*. 1996;75(4):363-8. doi: 10.1136/hrt.75.4.363.
6. Singh JP, Johnston J, Sleight P, Bird R, Ryder K, Hart G. Left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive patients is associated with abnormal rate adaptation of QT interval. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;29(4):778-84. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(96)00576-1.
 7. Grossman W, Jones D, McLaurin LP. Wall stress and patterns of hypertrophy in the human left ventricle. *J Clin Invest*. 1975;56(1):56-64. doi: 10.1172/jci108079.
 8. Opie LH, Commerford PJ, Gersh BJ, Pfeffer MA. Controversies in ventricular remodelling. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9507):356-67. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(06)68074-4.
 9. Drazner MH. The progression of hypertensive heart disease. *Circulation*. 2011;123(3):327-34. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.108.845792.
 10. Kuwada Y, Takenaka K. [Transmural heterogeneity of the left ventricular wall: subendocardial layer and subepicardial layer]. *J Cardiol*. 2000;35(3):205-18. [Japanese].
 11. Sengupta PP, Korinek J, Belohlavek M, Narula J, Vannan MA, Jahangir A, et al. Left ventricular structure and function: basic science for cardiac imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;48(10):1988-2001. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.08.030.
 12. Streeter DD Jr, Spotnitz HM, Patel DP, Ross J Jr, Sonnenblick EH. Fiber orientation in the canine left ventricle during diastole and systole. *Circ Res*. 1969;24(3):339-47. doi: 10.1161/01.res.24.3.339.
 13. Geyer H, Caracciolo G, Abe H, Wilansky S, Carerj S, Gentile F, et al. Assessment of myocardial mechanics using speckle tracking echocardiography: fundamentals and clinical applications. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2010;23(4):351-69. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2010.02.015.
 14. Bauer M, Cheng S, Jain M, Ngoy S, Theodoropoulos C, Trujillo A, et al. Echocardiographic speckle-tracking based strain imaging for rapid cardiovascular phenotyping in mice. *Circ Res*. 2011;108(8):908-16. doi: 10.1161/circresaha.110.239574.
 15. Mizuguchi Y, Oishi Y, Miyoshi H, Iuchi A, Nagase N, Oki T. Concentric left ventricular hypertrophy brings deterioration of systolic longitudinal, circumferential, and radial myocardial deformation in hypertensive patients with preserved left ventricular pump function. *J Cardiol*. 2010;55(1):23-33. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2009.07.006.
 16. Donal E, Coquerel N, Bodi S, Kervio G, Schnell F, Daubert JC, et al. Importance of ventricular longitudinal function in chronic heart failure. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2011;12(8):619-27. doi: 10.1093/ejehocard/jer089.
 17. Stanton T, Leano R, Marwick TH. Prediction of all-cause mortality from global longitudinal speckle strain: comparison with ejection fraction and wall motion scoring. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2009;2(5):356-64. doi: 10.1161/circimaging.109.862334.
 18. Tadic M, Cuspidi C, Grassi G. The influence of sex on left ventricular remodeling in arterial hypertension. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2019;24(6):905-14. doi: 10.1007/s10741-019-09803-3.
 19. Navarini S, Bellsham-Revell H, Chubb H, Gu H, Sinha MD, Simpson JM. Myocardial deformation measured by 3-dimensional speckle tracking in children and adolescents with systemic arterial hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2017;70(6):1142-7. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.117.09574.
 20. Tadic M, Cuspidi C, Vukomanovic V, Ilic S, Obert P, Kocijancic V, et al. Layer-specific deformation of the left ventricle in uncomplicated patients with type 2 diabetes and arterial hypertension. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. 2018;111(1):17-24. doi: 10.1016/j.acvd.2017.01.014.
 21. Craciunescu I, Badea G, Ursu G, Vasile S, Iancu M, Bolog M, et al. P235: Relation between myocardial deformation by three-dimensional speckle tracking analysis, control of the hypertension and functional capacity in patients with systemic hypertension. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17(Suppl_2):ii37-ii45. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jew236.002.
 22. Nagata Y, Wu VC, Otsuji Y, Takeuchi M. Normal range of myocardial layer-specific strain using two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(6):e0180584. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180584.
 23. Kim SA, Park SM, Kim MN, Shim WJ. Assessment of left ventricular function by layer-specific strain and its relationship to structural remodeling in patients with hypertension. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32(2):211-6. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2015.04.025.
 24. Sharif H, Ting S, Forsythe L, McGregor G, Banerjee P, O'Leary D, et al. Layer-specific systolic and diastolic strain in hypertensive patients with and without mild diastolic dysfunction. *Echo Res Pract*. 2018;5(1):41-9. doi: 10.1530/erp-17-0072.
 25. Toufan M, Mohammadzadeh Gharebaghi S, Pourafkari L, Delir Abdolahinia E. Systolic longitudinal function of the left ventricle assessed by speckle tracking in heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. *J Tehran Heart Cent*. 2015;10(4):194-200.