



*27(1): 1-8, 2019; Article no.EJMP.31731 ISSN: 2231-0894, NLM ID: 101583475*

# **Antioxidants and Radical Scavenging Activities of Nigerian Soybeans (***Glycine max* **(L.) Merr.)**

J. Olawuni Idowu<sup>1\*</sup>, A. David Oyinade<sup>2</sup>, S. Bamidele Funminiyi<sup>1</sup> **and E. Ogundepo Gbenga1**

*1 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author JOI designed the work. Authors ADO, SBF and EOG analyzed, interpreted and performed the statistical analysis, Authors JOI and SBF managed the literature searches and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/EJMP/2019/v27i130104 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. Karim Hosni, Assistant Professor, Laboratory of Natural Substance, National Institut of Research and Physico-chemical Analysis (INRAP), Biotechpole de Sid Thabet, Tunisia. (2) Prof. Marcello Iriti, Professor of Plant Biology and Pathology, Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Milan State University, Italy. *Reviewers:* (1) Dr. Syed Mubasher Sabir, University of Poonch, Rawalakot Azad Kashmir, Pakistan. (2) Eduardo Habermann, University of São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/31731

*Original Research Article*

*Received 18 October 2016 Accepted 03 March 2017 Published 28 March 2019*

# **ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** To evaluated the antioxidant and radical scavenging ability of three different accessions (TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F*)* of soybean.

**Study Design:** *In vitro* evaluation of antioxidant assays: Total phenol, Total flavonoid, Total antioxidant capacity, Ferric reducing antioxidant capacity, Cupric reducing antioxidant capacity, Ferrous Ion-chelating Ability, 2, 2-Diphenyl-2-Picryl-Hydrazyl (DPPH) and Nitric oxide (NO) radical scavenging activities.

**Place and Duration:** Department of Biochemistry, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria (August–December, 2016).

**Methodology:** Hydroalcoholic crude extracts of TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F were obtained through soxhlet apparatus using 80% methanol and concentrated in a rotary evaporator at 4°C. The crude extract was then subjected to different antioxidant assays (Total phenol, Total flavonoid, Total antioxidant capacity, Ferric reducing antioxidant capacity, Cupric

\_

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: olawunij@gmail.com, olawunij@oauife.edu.ng;*

reducing antioxidant capacity, Ferrous Ion-chelating Ability , DPPH and NO radical scavenging activities.) following standard procedures.

**Results:** The results shows that TGx 1951-3F elicited the highest DPPH and NO radical scavenging activity with IC<sub>50</sub> value of 2.61 $\pm$  0.02 mg/ml and 2.58  $\pm$  0.02 mg/ml, compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F. Similarly, Ferrous Ion-chelating Ability (FIC) of TGx 1951-3F was higher with  $IC_{50}$  value of 1.38  $\pm$  0.07 mg/ml, compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F with an  $IC_{50}$  of 1.86  $\pm$  0.16 and 2.07  $\pm$  0.16 mg/ml. The reducing power of the three accessions expressed in terms of ascorbic acid equivalent tested using FRAP, TAC and CUPRAC assays showed that TGx 1951-3F has highest antioxidant activity follow by TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F. This same trend was also observed in antioxidant constituent present in the samples as TGx-1951-3F has higher phenolic and flavonoid content compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F. **Conclusion:** The result of this present study revealed that Accession TGx 1951-3F elicit the highest antioxidant potential nevertheless, accessions TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F also contain significant amounts of flavonoids and phenolic compounds. Consequently, the plant seeds might be an important source of natural antioxidant, and helpful in prevention and management of

various diseases associated with oxidative stress.

*Keywords: Soybean; antioxidants; radical scavengers; oxidative stress.*

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Soybeans (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr) is a legume that is universally consumed. Soybeans is a complex food matrix containing no or low starch, 9% water, 30% carbohydrates, 20% total fat and 36% protein in addition to wide arrays of bioactive phytochemicals like isoflavones, lunasin, saponin, and trypsin inhibitors [1]. Recently there is a growing interest in Soybeans by researchers owing to its potential role in the prevention of a number of chronic degenerative diseases like cancer, coronary heart disease and osteoporosis [2]. Consumption of soybeans have become so widely important in recent times because of it human benefit such as<br>protect heart health, defend against cancer, protect heart health, defend against cancer,<br>reduce the effects of menopause. reduce the effects of menopause, improve digestive health, reduce risk of hypertension and decrease the risk of diabetes which was adduced to the present of phenolic, isoflavone [3-4]. Bioactive phytochemicals present in soybeans differ greatly with the cultivar, weather and geographical planting location [5-6]. It has been recorded that Indian cultivars are rich in genistein content compared to the European and American soybean cultivars. [7].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and free radicals are constantly produced in pathological conditions and has become a normal physiological bane [8]. Reactive species such as hydroxyl radical (OH˙), hydrogen peroxide, superoxide anions (O2-), and nitric oxide react with DNA, proteins, and lipids that eventually lead to cell death and tissue damage [9]. Free

radicals play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of aging, anemia, arthritis, asthma, atherosclerosis, cancer, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, hypertension, inflammation, myocardial infarction, and neurodegenerative diseases [10]. Naturally all organism possess defense machineries, which are endogenous antioxidants, to guide against the deleterious effect of these reactive oxygen species [11]. However, during oxidative stress these endogenous antioxidants get unbalanced by exogenous and endogenous factors leading to various disease conditions [12]. The excessive production of oxidants have led to increased investigations to identify potential antioxidants from natural products basically from plants [13]. The harmful effects of oxidative stress can be reduced by a constant supply of natural products [14]. It is has been well established that herbal medicines are a safer option for prevention of diseases mediated by oxidative stress [15].

This investigation focuses on evaluating the scavenging activities and antioxidant properties of three different accessions of Nigeria soybeans.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Collection and Extraction of Plant Materials**

Three accessions *TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F* of soybean were collected at National cereals research institute, Niger state, Nigeria. The soybean was cultivated during raining season, at latitude 9 $^0$ 045<sup>'</sup> N, longitude 6 $^0$ 

07' E at an altitude of 70.57m a.s.l. The accessions were oven dried at 40 $\,^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours to get rid of absorbed moisture and the dry seeds were reduced to fine powder using an electronic blender. Fifty (50) gram of powdered material were subjected to soxhlet extraction using 80% methanol.

## **2.2 Determination of Total Phenol Content**

The method of Singleton and Rossi [16] was used as described by Gulcin *et al* [17] using the Folin ciocalteu's phenol reagent which is an oxidizing reagent. To a mixture of 0.1 ml of sample and 0.9 ml of distilled water, was added 0.2 ml of Folin-ciocalteu's phenol reagent and the resulting mixture vortexed. After 5 minutes, 1.0 ml of 7% (w/w)  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  solution then added and the solution was then make up to 2.5 ml before incubating for 90 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance against a negative control containing 0.1 ml of water in place of the sample was then taken at 750nm. Gallic acid (0.1 mg/ml) was used as standard in order to determine Gallic acid Equivalent (GAE) of sample, after preparing a calibration curve. Distilled water was used as blank.

## **2.3 Determination of Total Flavonoid Content**

Standard quercetin with varying concentration 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 µg/ml were used as standard. The assay was carried out based on the Aluminium chloride colorimetric assay method according to Zhilen [18] as described by Miliauskas [19]. To 0.1 ml of extract/standard was added 0.4 ml of distilled water. This was followed by 0.1 ml of 5% sodium nitrite. After 5 minutes, 0.1 ml of 10% Aluminum Chloride and 0.2 ml of sodium hydroxide was added and the volume was made up to 2.5 ml with distilled water. The absorbance at 510nm was measured against the blank. The total flavonoid content of the plant, expressed as mg quercetin equivalents per gram of the plant extract is calculated as:

 $X= q^*$  Vw

- $X = \text{Total content of flavonoid compound in}$ quercetin equivalent
- q= concentration of quercetin established from the standard curve
- $V=$  volume of extract (ml)
- w= weight of the crude methanolic extract obtained.

# **2.4 2, 2-Diphenyl-2-Picryl-Hydrazyl Radical Scavenging Assay**

The radical scavenging ability of the samples was determined using the stable radical DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl hydrate) as described by Brand-Williams [20]. To 1 ml of different concentrations (5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.3125 and 0.15625 mg/ml) of the extract or standard in a test tube was added 1 ml of 0.3 mM DPPH in methanol. The mixture was mixed and incubated in the dark for 30 minutes after which the absorbance was read at 517nm against a DPPH control containing only 1 ml methanol in place of the extract.

The percent of inhibition was calculated as follows:

I% = [(Ablank-Asample)/Ablank] x 100

Where  $A_{\text{blank}}$  is the absorbance of the control (containing all reagents except the test compound), and Asample is the absorbance of the test compound. Sample concentration providing 50% inhibition ( $IC_{50}$ ) was calculated from the graph plotting inhibition percentage against extract concentration.

## **2.5 Inhibition of Nitric Oxide (NO) Radical**

The nitric oxide scavenging activity of the sample was measured spectrophotometrically according to the method of Green [21] as described by Marcocci et al. [22]. The reaction mixture, containing 0.1 ml of different concentrations (10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625, 0.3125 mg/ml) of the oil extract and 0.9 ml of sodium nitroprusside (2.5 mM) in phosphate buffer saline (pH 7.2, 10 mM) was incubated under illumination for 150 minutes. After incubation, 0.5 ml of 1% sulphanilamide in 5% phosphoric acid was added and incubated in the dark for 10 minutes, followed by addition of 0.5 ml 0.1% NED (N-1 napthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride). The absorbance of the chromophore formed was measured at 546nm [23]. The percentage inhibition of nitric oxide radical formation was calculated as expressed above in DPPH radical scavenging assay.

# **2.6 Determination of Total Antioxidant Capacity**

This method is based on the reduction of Molybdenum (VI) to Molybdenum (V) by the

extract and the subsequent formation of a green phosphate/Molybdenum (V) complex at an acidic pH [9]. To 0.1 ml of the extracts (1 mg/ml) or standard solutions of ascorbic acid (20, 40, 60, 80, 100 µg/ml) was added 1 ml of the reagent solution which consisted of 0.6 M sulphuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate and 4 mM ammonium molybdate. The tubes containing the reacting mixture were incubated in a water bath at 95°C for 90 minutes. The mixture was then allowed to stand and cool to room temperature and the absorbance measured at 695nm against a blank which consisted of the reacting mixture containing distilled water in place of the extract. The antioxidant activities of the extracts were expressed as an ascorbic acid equivalent.

#### **2.7 Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP)**

The FRAP working reagent consisted of 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 10 mM 2, 4, 6-tri-(2 pyridyl)-1, 3, 5-triazine and 20 mM  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O$ were mixed together in the ratio of 10:1:1 respectively. A 50 μl aliquot of the oil extract at 0.1 mg/ml and 50 μl of standard solutions of ascorbic acid (20, 40, 60, 80, 100 µg/ml) were added to 1 ml of FRAP reagent in duplicate tubes. Absorbance measurement was taken at 593nm exactly 10 minutes after mixing against reagent blank containing 50 µl of distilled water. All measurements were taken at room temperature with samples protected from direct sunlight. The Ferric reducing antioxidant power was expressed in ascorbic acid equivalent concentration (EC) which was defined as the concentration of antioxidant that gave a ferric reducing ability equivalent to that of the ascorbic acid standard [24].

## **2.8 Ferrous Ion-chelating Ability Assay**

The ferrous ion-chelating (FIC) assay was carried out according to the method of Singh and Rajini [25] with some modifications. Solutions of 2 mM FeCl<sub>2</sub> $\cdot$ 4H<sub>2</sub>O and 5 mM ferrozine were diluted 20 times. Briefly, an aliquot (1 ml) of different concentrations of extracts were mixed with 1ml  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$ . After 5 minutes incubation, the reaction was initiated by the addition of ferrozine (1 ml). The mixture was shaken vigorously and after a further 10 minute incubation period the absorbance of the solution was measured spectrophotometrically at 562 nm. The percentage inhibition of ferrozine– $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$ 

complex formations was calculated by using the formula:

Chelating effect  $% = [(A_{control} - A_{sample})/A_{control}] \times 100$ 

Where,  $A_{control}$  = absorbance of control sample (the control contains  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>$  and ferrozine, complex formation molecules) and  $A_{sample}$  = absorbance of a tested samples.

## **2.9 CUPRAC Assay**

In order to determine the cupric ions  $(Cu^{2+})$ reducing ability of extracts, the method of Apak [26] was used with little modification as described by Gulcin  $[27]$ . Briefly, 0.25 ml CuCl<sub>2</sub> solution (0.01 M), 0.25 ml ethanolic neocuproine solution  $(7.5 * 10^{-3}$  M), and 0.25 ml CH<sub>3</sub>COOH<sub>4</sub> buffer (1) M) were added to a test tube, followed by mixing with 0.25 ml of extracts. The total reaction volume was adjusted to 2 ml with distilled water, and the solution was mixed well. The tubes were stoppered and kept at room temperature for 30 minute, and absorbance was measured at 450nm. Increased absorbance indicates increased reduction capability which is express as trolox equivalent (TEAC) using trolox as standard.

## **2.10 Statistical and Data Analysis**

All data obtained from the various experiment were subjected to descriptive statistical calculation using GraphPad® Instat Statistical Package and expressed as mean values and standard error of mean (S.E.M) of multiple measurements (usually  $n=3$ ). The  $IC_{50}$ , values were estimated from graphical linear plots. The level of significance was chosen as p<0.05 following one-way ANOVA. All the graphs were plotted using GraphPad ® Prism 5 Graphical package. The correlation coefficient, slope and intercept were obtained by linear regression analysis.

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Soybean extracts and derived compounds have been shown to be effective scavengers of DPPH• radicals [28-29].Table 1 showed the results of the DPPH inhibitory assays carried out on the three accessions *TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F* of soybean. TGx 1951-3F has the highest DPPH radical scavenging activity with  $IC_{50}$  values of 2.61±0.02 mg/ml, compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F with an  $IC_{50}$  of  $2.80 \pm 0.06$  and  $3.28 \pm 0.05$  mg/ml respectively. The radical scavenging activity soybeans exhibit a dose dose/concentration dependent relationship. DPPH has been used to evaluate the free radical- scavenging activity of natural antioxidants. DPPH is a radical that changes into a stable compound by reacting with an antioxidant and the extent of the reaction depends on the hydrogen donating ability of the antioxidant [30]. The ability of soybean accession to scavenge DPPH radicals suggests that it is an electron donor which can react with free radicals to convert them to more stable products and thereby terminate radical chain reactions.

The nitric oxide inhibition assay also showed that TGx 1951-3F has the highest activity with  $IC_{50}$ value of  $2.58 \pm 0.02$  mg/ml, compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 3.77  $\pm$  0.06 and 3.12  $\pm$  0.10 mg/ml respectively as shown in Table 2. Nitric oxide is an important chemical mediator produced by several different types of cells, including endothelial cells, neurons and macrophages. They are involved in the regulation of various physiological processes, for example the early release of nitric oxide through the activity of constitutive nitric-oxide synthase is important in maintaining the dilation of blood vessels. However excess concentration of NO is associated with several oxidative damages (diseases), for example excess NO reacts with oxygen and superoxide radical, forming the highly reactive peroxynitrite anion (ONOO-) [31]. In this study, the extract inhibits nitrite formation by directly competing with oxygen in the reaction with nitric oxide, thereby inhibiting the formation of anions. The result shows that the soybean has moderate nitric oxide scavenging activity compared to the standard ascorbic acid.

In the Ferrous Ion-chelating Ability (FIC) assay, the result in Table 3 shows that the TGx 1951-3F

show the highest FIC activity with the least  $IC_{50}$ value of  $1.38 \pm 0.07$  mg/ml, compared to TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F with an  $IC_{50}$  of 1.86  $\pm$  0.16 and 2.07  $\pm$  0.16 mg/ml. In metal chelating assay, TGx 1951-3F has the higher ability to chelate metals followed by TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F*.* Free iron plays an important role in formation of reactive oxygen species [32]. In addition, excessive iron deposition in different vital organs can lead to the loss of function of those organs like liver, kidney etc. So, chelation of this free iron can prevent the formation of freeradicals as well as can prevent the damage of this vital organ. Ferrozine in complex with ferrous ion (Fe  $2^+$ ) produces a violet colour. In the presence of a chelating agent, complex formation is interrupted by competing with ferrozine in chelating Fe $^{2+}$  and as a result the violet color of the complex is decreased. In this study, the results demonstrated that formation of the ferrozine-Fe $2+$  complex is interrupted in the presence of the soybean accession and standard EDTA.

The ability of the accessions to act as reducing agent was also evaluated using FRAP, TAC and CUPRAC assays. The results show that TGx 1951-3F has highest reducing property follow by TGx-1835-10E and TGx-1987-62F in terms of ascorbic acid equivalent (AAE) of the three samples Table 4. This same trend was also observe in antioxidant constituent present in the samples as TGx-1951-3F has higher phenolic and flavonoid content compared to TGx-1835- 10E and TGx-1987-62F as shown in Table 5. The higher amount of Total phenolics and flavonoids inTGx-1951-3F is an indication that this specific soybean may have unique genetic characteristics in favor of phenolic compounds production.





*All analyses are the mean of triplicate measurements ± standard error of mean*

Concentration (mg/ml)	$%$ Inhibition $±$ S.E.M		
	TGx-1835-10E	TGx-1987-62F	<b>TGx 1951-3F</b>
5	$37.28 \pm 1.76$	$44.30 \pm 1.63$	$63.19 \pm 0.73$
2.5	$31.75 \pm 0.28$	$38.85 \pm 0.76$	$52.96 \pm 0.25$
1.25	$28.62 \pm 0.18$	$28.44 \pm 2.62$	$43.34 \pm 0.20$
0.625	$21.17 \pm 0.20$	$21.77 \pm 2.34$	$40.16 \pm 0.28$
0.3125	$10.44 \pm 1.08$	$8.55 \pm 0.91$	$35.64 \pm 1.06$
0.15625	$2.71 \pm 1.76$	$5.99 \pm 0.81$	$28.87 \pm 0.30$
IC50	$3.77 \pm 0.06$	$3.12 \pm 0.10$	$2.58 \pm 0.004$

**Table 2. Nitric oxide scavenging activity of TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx – 1951-3F**

*All analyses are the mean of triplicate measurements ± standard error of mean*

#### **Table 3. Metal chelating activity of TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F**



*All analyses are the mean of triplicate measurements ± standard error of mean*

#### **Table 4. Total antioxidant capacity, CUPRAC and FRAP of TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F**



*All analyses are the mean of triplicate measurements ± standard error of mean; TAC, CUPRAC and FRAP were Expressed as mg Ascorbic acid Equivalent/g of dry plant material.*

*The data in each column marked by the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05)*

#### **Table 5. Total phenol and flavonoids contents of TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F**



*All analyses are the mean of triplicate measurements ± standard error of mean; TFC: Expressed as mg quercetin Equivalent/g of dry plant material; TPC: Expressed as mg Gallic acid Equivalent /g of dry plant material The data in each column marked by the same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05)*

In previous study, Lin *et al* also reported that the black soybeans had significantly higher amount of total phenolic content than the yellow soybeans did [33]. Plant phenolics are known to exhibit potent antioxidant activity [34]. Also, the anti-oxidative properties of flavonoids are due to several different mechanisms, such as scavenging of free radicals, chelation of metal ions, and inhibition of enzymes responsible for free radical generation [35]. Hence, the observed antioxidant activity of the extracts of soybean accession may be due to the presence of these constituents. However, variations in activity of different accessions may be due to the diversity in the basic chemical structure of phytoconstituents, which make them, possesses different degree of antioxidant activity against different free radicals.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The result of this study revealed that the three accessions TGx-1835-10E, TGx-1987-62F and TGx 1951-3F of soybean, contain moderate amounts of flavonoids and phenolic compounds, and exhibit antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities. This important evident suggests that the three accessions have great health benefit.

#### **CONSENT**

It is not applicable.

#### **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

It is not applicable.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Mujić I, Šertović E, Jokić S, Sarić Z, Alibabić V, Vidović S, Isoflavone content and antioxidant properties of soybean seeds. Croatian Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2011;3(1):16-20.
- 2. Mesa MD, Silván JM, Olza J, Gil Á, del Castillo MD. Antioxidant properties of soy<br>protein–fructooligosaccharide alvcation protein–fructooligosaccharide systems and its hydrolyzates. Food Research International. 2008;41(6):606-15.
- 3. Ademiluyi AO, Oboh G. Soybean phenolicrich extracts inhibit key-enzymes linked to type 2 diabetes (α-amylase and αglucosidase) and hypertension (angiotensin I converting enzyme) *in vitro*. Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology. 2013;65(3):305-9.
- 4. Zhou JR, Gugger ET, Tanaka T, Guo Y, Blackburn GL, Clinton SK. Soybean phytochemicals inhibit the growth of transplantable human prostate carcinoma and tumor angiogenesis in mice. The Journal of nutrition. 1999;129(9):1628-35.
- 5. Hoeck JA, Fehr WR, Murphy PA, Welke GA. Influence of genotype and environment on isoflavone contents of soybean. Crop Science. 2000;40(1):48-51.
- 6. Seguin P, Zheng W, Smith DL, Deng W. Isoflavone content of soybean cultivars grown in eastern Canada. Journal of the

Science of Food and Agriculture. 2004; 84(11):1327-32.

- 7. Devi MA, Gondi M, Sakthivelu G, Giridhar P, Rajasekaran T, Ravishankar GA. Functional attributes of soybean seeds and products, with reference to isoflavone content and antioxidant activity. Food Chemistry. 2009;114(3):771-6.
- 8. Mathew S, Abraham TE. Studies on the antioxidant activities of cinnamon (*Cinnamomum verum*) bark extracts, through various *in vitro* models. Food Chemistry. 2006;94(4):520-8.
- 9. Eseyin OA, Etiemmana GC, Enobong M, Ebong A, Etim I, Udobre SA, Johnson E, Attih E, Effiong A. Evaluation of the antioxidant properties of some commonly eaten vegetables in Akwa Ibom State of Nigeria. Annu Res Rev Biol. 2015;5(2): 165-73.
- 10. Li S, Li SK, Li HB, Xu XR, Deng GF, Xu DP. Antioxidant capacities of herbal infusions. Processing and Impact on Antioxidants in Beverages. 2014;41-50.
- 11. Masella R, Di Benedetto R, Varì R, Filesi C, Giovannini C. Novel mechanisms of natural antioxidant compounds in biological systems: Involvement of glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes. The Journal of nutritional biochemistry. 2005;16(10): 577-86.
- 12. Masella R, Di Benedetto R, Varì R, Filesi C, Giovannini C. Novel mechanisms of natural antioxidant compounds in biological systems: Involvement of glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes. The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry. 2005; 16(10):577-86.
- 13. Sokolova EV, Barabanova AO,<br>Bogdanovich RN. Khomenko VA. Bogdanovich RN, Khomenko VA, Solov'eva TF, Yermak IM. In vitro antioxidant properties of red algal polysaccharides. Biomedicine & Preventive Nutrition. 2011;1(3):161-7.
- 14. Krishnasamy G, Muthusamy K. In vitro evaluation of antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of Syzygium densiflorum fruits. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. 2015;5(11):912-7.
- 15. Ratana Indranupakorn, Parapat Sobharaksha, Manee Luangtana-anan. Antioxidant activities of the soybean extracts obtained by classical extraction. International Journal of Physical Sciences. 2010;6(3):113-121.
- 16. Singleton VL, Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phospho-

tungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture. 1965;16(3): 144-158.

- 17. Gülçin Ì, Şat İG, Beydemir Ş, Elmastaş M, Küfrevioǧlu Öİ. Comparison of antioxidant activity of clove (Eugenia caryophylata Thunb) buds and lavender (*Lavandula stoechas* L.). Food Chemistry. 2004;87(3): 393-400.
- 18. Zhishen J, Mengcheng T, Jianming W. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. Food Chemistry. 1999; 64(4):555-9.
- 19. Miliauskas G, Venskutonis PR, Van Beek TA. Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plant extracts. Food Chemistry. 2004;85(2): 231-7.
- 20. Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset CL. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. LWT-Food Science and Technology. 1995;28(1):25-30.
- 21. Green LC, Wagner DA, Glogowski J, Skipper PL, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. Analysis of nitrate, nitrite, and [15N] nitrate in biological fluids. Analytical Biochemistry. 1982;126(1):131-8.
- 22. Marcocci L, Packer L, Droy-Lefaix MT, Sekaki A, Gardès-Albert M. Antioxidant action of Ginkgo biloba extract EGb 761. Methods in enzymology. 1994;234:462-75.
- 23. Prieto P, Pineda M, Aguilar M. Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: Specific application to the determination of vitamin E. Analytical Biochemistry. 1999;269(2): 337-41.
- 24. Benzie IFF, Strain JJ. Ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of antioxidant power: The FRAP assay. Analytical Biochemistry. 76.
- 25. Singh N, Rajini PS. Free radical scavenging activity of an aqueous extract

of potato peel. Food Chemistry. 2004;85(4): 611-6.

- 26. Apak R, Güçlü K, Özyürek M, Karademi˙ r SE, Altun M. Total antioxidant capacity assay of human serum using copper (II) neocuproine as chromogenic oxidant: The CUPRAC method. Free Radical Research. 2005;39(9):949-61.
- 27. Gülçin I. Antioxidant activity of food constituents: An overview. Archives of Toxicology. 2012;86(3):345-91.
- 28. Lee J, Renita M, Fioritto RJ, St Martin SK, Schwartz SJ, Vodovotz Y. Isoflavone characterization and antioxidant activity of ohio soybeans. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry. 2004;52(9):2647-51.
- 29. Malencic D, Popovic M, Miladinovic J. Phenolic content and antioxidant properties of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) seeds. Molecules. 2007;12(3):576- 81.
- 30. CHEN CW, HO CT. Antioxidant properties of polyphenols extracted from green and black teas. Journal of Food Lipids. 1995; 2(1):35-46.
- 31. Hemnani TA, Parihar MS. Reactive oxygen species and oxidative DNA damage. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology. 1998;42:440-52.
- 32. Cotran RS, Kumar V, Robbins LS. Robbin's pathologic basis of disease, W. Saunder Co., Philadelphia  $4<sup>th</sup>$  edition, 1989; 9-11.
- 33. Lin PY, Lai HM. Bioactive compounds in legumes and their germinated products. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 2006;54(11):3807-3814.
- 34. Velioglu YS, Mazza G, Gao L, Oomah BD. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected fruits, vegetables, and grain products. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 1998;46(10):4113-7.
- 35. Benavente-García O, Castillo J, Marin FR, Ortuño A, Del Río JA. Uses and properties of citrus flavonoids. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1997;45(12):4505-15.

 $\_$  , and the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of th *© 2019 Idowu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/31731*