



Hyperparameter Tuning in Machine Learning: A Comprehensive Review

Justus A Ilemobayo ^a, Olamide Durodola ^{a*},
Oreoluwa Alade ^b, Opeyemi J Awotunde ^a,
Adewumi T Olanrewaju ^c, Olumide Falana ^a,
Adedolapo Ogungbire ^d, Abraham Osinuga ^e,
Dabira Ogunbiyi ^f, Ark Ifeanyi ^g, Ikenna E Odezuligbo ^h
and Oluwagbotemi E Edu ⁱ

^a Samuel Ginn College of Engineering, Auburn University, USA.

^b Department of Physics, North Dakota State University, USA.

^c University of Florida, USA.

^d Department of Civil Engineering, University of Arkansas, USA.

^e Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.

^f Department of Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA

^g Bredesen Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Graduate Education, University of Tennessee, USA.

^h Creighton University, Nebraska, USA.

ⁱ Agricultural and Environmental Engineering Department, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2024/v26i61188>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118312>

Review Article

Received: 29/03/2024

Accepted: 03/06/2024

Published: 07/06/2024

*Corresponding author: Email: olamidedurodola@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

Hyperparameter tuning is essential for optimizing the performance and generalization of machine learning (ML) models. This review explores the critical role of hyperparameter tuning in ML, detailing its importance, applications, and various optimization techniques. Key factors influencing ML performance, such as data quality, algorithm selection, and model complexity, are discussed, along with the impact of hyperparameters like learning rate and batch size on model training. Various tuning methods are examined, including grid search, random search, Bayesian optimization, and meta-learning. Special focus is given to the learning rate in deep learning, highlighting strategies for its optimization. Trade-offs in hyperparameter tuning, such as balancing computational cost and performance gain, are also addressed. Concluding with challenges and future directions, this review provides a comprehensive resource for improving the effectiveness and efficiency of ML models.

Keywords: Hyperparameter tuning; learning rate; batch size; grid search; random search; Bayesian optimization; meta-learning; neural networks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Machine learning (ML) is a subset of artificial intelligence (AI) that enables systems to learn and make decisions from data without being explicitly programmed. This field has witnessed explosive growth and application across various industries, driven by the increasing availability of data and advancements in computing power. From healthcare and finance to autonomous systems and natural language processing, ML models are transforming how tasks are performed, offering improved efficiency, accuracy, and insights.

In healthcare, ML models assist in diagnosing diseases, predicting patient outcomes, and personalizing treatment plans. For instance, models trained on medical imaging data can identify anomalies with a level of precision comparable to that of human experts, enabling early detection and intervention [1]. In finance, ML algorithms are used for risk assessment, fraud detection, and automated trading, helping institutions manage risks and optimize returns [2]. In agriculture, ML has also been useful in agricultural task categorization (pre-harvesting, harvesting, and post-harvesting) [3]. Similarly, in autonomous systems, ML is integral in enabling vehicles to perceive their environment, make decisions, and navigate safely [4].

The importance of ML lies not only in its ability to automate complex tasks but also in its potential to uncover patterns and insights from data that would be difficult, if not impossible, for humans to detect. This capability is particularly valuable in fields like genomics, where ML models can

analyze vast amounts of genetic data to identify markers associated with diseases [5].

Performance in ML is a measure of how well a model generalizes from the training data to unseen data. It is crucial because a model that performs well on training data but poorly on new data is not useful in real-world applications. Performance is typically evaluated using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, depending on the specific task and data characteristics.

High performance is essential for several reasons:

1. **Accuracy and Reliability:** In critical applications like medical diagnosis and autonomous driving, high-performing models are necessary to ensure accurate and reliable predictions. Errors in such contexts can have severe consequences, including loss of life and financial loss.
2. **Efficiency:** High-performance models can process data more efficiently, reducing computational costs and time. This efficiency is particularly important in real-time applications where decisions need to be made quickly [6].
3. **User Trust and Adoption:** Models that consistently perform well build trust among users and stakeholders, facilitating broader adoption and integration into operational workflows. This trust is critical in sectors where decisions based on ML predictions have significant impacts [7].

Hyperparameters are the parameters that govern the training process and structure of machine learning models. Unlike model parameters, which are learned during training, hyperparameters are set before the training process begins. They play a critical role in determining the performance of the model. Examples of hyperparameters include the learning rate in neural networks, the number of trees in a random forest, the depth of a decision tree, the penalty term in support vector machines, momentum, learning rate decay, a gradual reduction in the learning rate over time to speed up learning and regularization constant.

The relationship between hyperparameters and performance is complex. Properly tuned hyperparameters can lead to significant improvements in model performance, while poorly chosen hyperparameters can result in suboptimal models. For instance, in neural networks, the learning rate controls how quickly the model updates its weights during training. A learning rate that is too high can cause the model to converge too quickly to a suboptimal solution, while a learning rate that is too low can make the training process unnecessarily slow [8]. In momentum, it gives the direction of the next step with respect to the previous step.

The importance of achieving high performance in ML cannot be overstated. High-performing models are needed for:

1. **Operational Efficiency:** High performance translates to better decision-making and operational efficiency. In industrial applications, this means optimized processes, reduced downtime, and increased productivity.
2. **Competitive Advantage:** Businesses leveraging high-performing ML models can gain a competitive edge by offering better products and services. For instance, recommendation systems used by companies like Amazon and Netflix rely on high-performing models to provide personalized experiences that keep customers engaged [9].
3. **Advancement of Research:** In scientific research, high-performing models enable the discovery of new knowledge and insights. For example, in drug discovery, ML models can predict the efficacy of new compounds, accelerating the development of new treatments [10].

1.1 Factors Influencing Performance of Machine Learning Models

Several factors influence the performance of machine learning models. High-quality data that accurately represents the problem domain is crucial. Data preprocessing steps, such as cleaning, normalization, and feature engineering, enhance data quality. Additionally, having a large dataset provides more information, enabling the model to learn better and generalize well [11]. The choice of algorithm is also critical, as different algorithms have different strengths and are suitable for different types of problems. Selecting an appropriate algorithm that aligns with the problem's nature and data characteristics is essential for achieving high performance [12].

Hyperparameter tuning is another significant factor, as hyperparameters control the behavior and complexity of the model. Properly tuned hyperparameters can lead to significant improvements in performance. For example, in deep learning, hyperparameters such as learning rate, batch size, and the number of layers and units in the network can greatly affect the convergence and accuracy of the model [13]. Model complexity, defined by its architecture and the number of parameters, also affects performance. A model that is too simple may underfit the data, failing to capture underlying patterns, while a model that is too complex may overfit, capturing noise and spurious correlations [14].

Regularization techniques, such as L1 and L2 regularization, dropout, and early stopping, help prevent overfitting by adding constraints to the model. These techniques maintain a balance between bias and variance, leading to better generalization [15,16]. Finally, the choice of evaluation methods, such as cross-validation and bootstrapping, influences the assessment of model performance. Proper evaluation ensures that performance metrics are reliable and not biased by the specificities of the training and test datasets [17].

2. HYPERPARAMETER TUNING IN MACHINE LEARNING

Hyperparameter tuning is the process of finding the optimal set of hyperparameters that yield the best performance for a machine learning model. This process is critical because hyperparameters control the learning process and the structure of

the model such as learning rate, the number of neurons in a neural network, or kernel size in support vector machine, directly impacting its performance. Unlike model parameters, which are learned from the data, hyperparameters are set before training and require careful selection. Hyperparameter tuning can improve the performance and generalization of the model.

The importance of hyperparameter tuning in machine learning cannot be overstated. Proper hyperparameter tuning can significantly enhance model performance. For example, selecting the right learning rate in neural networks can speed up convergence and improve accuracy [13]. Additionally, hyperparameter tuning helps achieve a balance between bias and variance, thereby improving the model's ability to generalize to unseen data. This is crucial for the model's robustness and reliability in real-world applications [14]. Moreover, by identifying optimal hyperparameters, computational resources are used more efficiently, reducing training time and costs. This efficiency is particularly important for large-scale models and datasets [18].

Hyperparameters play a crucial role in the performance of various machine learning models. In neural networks, hyperparameters such as learning rate, batch size, number of layers, and number of units per layer significantly influence the model's performance. Proper tuning of these hyperparameters can lead to faster convergence and higher accuracy [8,19]. For support vector machines, the penalty parameter (C) and the kernel parameters, such as gamma in the RBF kernel, are critical in determining the decision boundary and margin. Tuning these parameters enhances the model's ability to handle non-linearly separable data [20].

In decision trees and random forests, hyperparameters such as the depth of the tree, the minimum samples per leaf, and the number of trees in a random forest influence the model's complexity and performance. Proper tuning of these hyperparameters can prevent overfitting and improve generalization [21]. Similarly, in gradient boosting machines like XGBoost, and LightGBM, hyperparameters like learning rate, number of estimators, and maximum depth of trees are essential for capturing complex patterns. Tuning these parameters can significantly enhance performance in predictive tasks [22].

Hyperparameters in various machine learning algorithms include learning rate, batch size, number of layers in neural networks, regularization parameters, number of tree and depth of trees. The learning rate in gradient-based optimization algorithms determines the step size during each iteration of the optimization process. A suitable learning rate is crucial for ensuring that the model converges to a good solution without overshooting or slow convergence. In stochastic gradient descent (SGD), the batch size defines the number of samples used to compute the gradient at each step. Smaller batch sizes can provide more accurate gradient estimates but may require more iterations to converge.

The architecture of neural networks, including the number of layers and the number of units per layer, determines the model's capacity to learn complex representations. These hyperparameters must be carefully selected to balance model capacity and computational efficiency. Regularization parameters, such as L1 and L2, control the penalty applied to the model's parameters, helping to prevent overfitting. L1 regularization promotes sparsity, while L2 regularization discourages large parameter values.

For support vector machines (SVM), kernel parameters such as gamma in the radial basis function (RBF) kernel influence the model's ability to handle non-linearly separable data. Proper tuning of these parameters is essential for achieving good classification performance. In random forests, the number of trees and the maximum depth of each tree determine the model's complexity and its ability to capture interactions between features. Proper tuning of these hyperparameters can improve both accuracy and generalization.

In NLP applications, determining the optimal size of the word embeddings could impact the accuracy of predictions. Proper tuning of hyperparameters like size of the context window and dimension of the embeddings can help strike a balance between computational efficiency and model performance [23].

2.1 Techniques Used for Hyperparameter Tuning

Several techniques have been developed to automate and optimize the hyperparameter tuning process. Grid search is a brute-force

technique that exhaustively searches over a predefined set of hyperparameters. Although straightforward and easy to implement, it can be computationally expensive, especially for large hyperparameter spaces [24]. Random search offers a more efficient alternative, sampling hyperparameters randomly from a distribution. This method has proven to be more effective in finding optimal hyperparameters as it explores a larger and more diverse set of combinations [24].

Bayesian optimization is a probabilistic model-based approach that builds a surrogate model to approximate the objective function. It iteratively selects the most promising hyperparameters to evaluate, balancing exploration and exploitation, making it particularly useful for optimizing expensive functions [18]. Genetic algorithms, inspired by the process of natural selection, use a population-based approach to search for optimal hyperparameters. They apply genetic operators such as mutation, crossover, and selection to evolve the population towards better solutions, effectively exploring complex and large hyperparameter spaces [25].

Early stopping is a regularization technique that monitors the model's performance on a validation set and halts training when performance starts to degrade, preventing overfitting and saving computational resources [26]. Hyperband is an adaptive resource allocation and early-stopping strategy for hyperparameter optimization. It evaluates a large number of hyperparameter configurations and allocates more resources to promising ones, effectively balancing exploration and exploitation [27,19].

Meta-learning, or learning to learn, leverages past experiences to accelerate the hyperparameter tuning process. It uses knowledge from previously optimized models to inform the search for optimal hyperparameters in new tasks [28]. Multi-fidelity optimization techniques employ approximations of the objective function at different levels of fidelity to speed up the hyperparameter tuning process. By evaluating cheaper approximations first and refining promising configurations with more expensive evaluations, these methods significantly reduce computational costs [29].

Automated Machine Learning (AutoML) aims to automate the entire machine learning pipeline, including hyperparameter tuning. AutoML combines various optimization techniques, such as Bayesian optimization, meta-learning, and

neural architecture search, to create robust and efficient models with minimal human intervention [30].

2.2 Learning Rate as a Hyperparameter in Deep Learning

The learning rate is one of the most critical hyperparameters in deep learning, governing how much to change the model in response to the estimated error each time the model weights are updated. It directly influences the convergence rate and final performance of neural networks. Selecting an appropriate learning rate is crucial for training neural networks efficiently. There are several strategies to optimize the learning rate.

Using learning rate schedules can help adjust the learning rate during training. Common schedules include step decay, where the learning rate is reduced by a factor after a fixed number of epochs; exponential decay, where the learning rate decreases exponentially; and cosine annealing, which uses a cosine function to decrease the learning rate. Adaptive learning rates are another effective strategy. Algorithms such as Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad), Root Mean Square Propagation (RMSProp), and Adaptive Moment Estimation (Adam) adjust the learning rate based on the gradients. These adaptive methods help improve convergence by scaling the learning rate according to the historical gradient information.

Cyclical learning rates involve periodically varying the learning rate between a lower and upper bound. This approach can help escape local minima and saddle points, potentially leading to better solutions. Another useful technique is learning rate warm-up, where the learning rate is gradually increased at the beginning of training. This method can stabilize training and prevent divergence, which is especially useful when training large models or using large batch sizes [31].

3. TRADE-OFFS TO CONSIDER WHEN PERFORMING HYPERPARAMETER TUNING

Hyperparameter tuning involves several trade-offs that need to be considered. Balancing exploration and exploitation is crucial, as it involves searching a wide range of hyperparameters while also focusing on promising regions. Techniques like Bayesian

optimization and Hyperband are designed to balance these two aspects effectively [19]. Another important trade-off is between computational cost and performance gain. Evaluating hyperparameters can be computationally expensive, so it's essential to consider the trade-off between the cost of tuning and the potential performance gain. Efficient methods like early stopping and multi-fidelity optimization can help mitigate these costs.

Ensuring that the selected hyperparameters generalize well to unseen data is vital, addressing the generalization versus overfitting trade-off. Techniques like cross-validation and regularization are effective in mitigating the risk of overfitting during hyperparameter tuning. Additionally, there is a trade-off between complexity and interpretability. More complex models and tuning strategies can yield better performance but may be harder to interpret. Balancing model complexity with interpretability is essential, especially in domains where model transparency is critical.

3.1 Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the advances in hyperparameter tuning, several challenges remain:

1. Scalability: As machine learning models become more complex and datasets grow larger, the scalability of hyperparameter tuning methods is a significant concern. Developing scalable optimization techniques that can handle large hyperparameter spaces and massive datasets is crucial [32].
2. Interpretability: The interpretability of hyperparameter tuning processes and their outcomes is essential for understanding model behavior and improving trust in machine learning systems. Methods that provide insights into the impact of hyperparameters on model performance are needed [33].
3. Integration with Neural Architecture Search: Neural architecture search (NAS) involves automatically designing neural network architectures. Integrating hyperparameter tuning with NAS can lead to more efficient and effective model development, but this integration poses significant computational and methodological challenges [34].
4. Resource Allocation: Efficiently allocating computational resources during

hyperparameter tuning is critical, especially in environments with limited resources. Developing adaptive resource allocation strategies that balance exploration and exploitation can enhance the efficiency of the tuning process [27].

5. Robustness: Ensuring the robustness of hyperparameter tuning methods against noisy evaluations and varying data distributions is essential for reliable model performance. Robust optimization techniques that account for these uncertainties are necessary [35,36].

4. CONCLUSION

Hyperparameter tuning is a critical aspect of machine learning that significantly impacts model performance. Proper tuning can lead to substantial improvements in accuracy, efficiency, and generalization. Various techniques, from simple grid search to advanced Bayesian optimization and meta-learning, offer different trade-offs in terms of computational cost and performance gain. As machine learning models and datasets continue to grow in complexity and size, the development of efficient, scalable, and robust hyperparameter tuning methods remains an important area of research. Future advancements promise to further enhance the performance and applicability of machine learning across diverse domains.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, Thrun S. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature*. 2017; 542(7639):115-118.
2. Heaton J, Polson NG, Witte JH. Deep learning in finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv*. 2017;1602:06561.
3. Meshram V, Patil K, Meshram V, Hanchate D, Ramkteke SD. Machine learning in agriculture domain: A state-of-art survey. *Artificial Intelligence in the Life Sciences*. 2021;1:100010. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aillsci.2021.100010>
4. Bojarski M, et al. End to end learning for self-driving cars [Preprint]; 2016.

- Available:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1604.07316>
5. Libbrecht MW, Noble WS. Machine learning applications in genetics and Genomics', *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2015;16(6):321–332. DOI: 10.1038/nrg3920
 6. Dean J, et al. Large scale distributed deep networks, *advances in neural information processing systems*; 2012. Available:https://papers.nips.cc/paper_files/paper/2012/hash/6aca97005c68f1206823815f66102863-Abstract.html (Accessed: May 2024)
 7. Rudin C. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead', *Nature Machine Intelligence*. 2019;1(5):206–215. DOI: 10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x
 8. Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, Courville A. *Deep learning*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2017.
 9. Gomez-Uribe CA, Hunt N. The netflix recommender system, *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems*. 2015;6(4):1–19. DOI: 10.1145/2843948
 10. Vamathevan J, et al. Applications of machine learning in drug discovery and development', *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*. 2019;18(6):463–477. DOI: 10.1038/s41573-019-0024-5
 11. Kotsiantis SB, Zaharakis I, Pintelas P. Supervised machine learning: A review of classification techniques. *Emerging Artificial Intelligence Applications in Computer Engineering*. 2007;160(1):3-24.
 12. Shalev-Shwartz S, Ben-David S. *Understanding machine learning: From theory to algorithms*. Cambridge University Press; 2014.
 13. Bengio Y. Practical recommendations for gradient-based training of deep architectures. In *Neural networks: Tricks of the trade* (pp. 437-478). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; 2012.
 14. Hastie T, Tibshirani R, Friedman J. *The elements of statistical learning: Data mining, inference, and prediction*. Springer Science & Business Media; 2009.
 15. Salehin I, Kang D-K. A review on dropout regularization approaches for deep neural networks within the scholarly domain. *Electronics*. 2023;12(14):3106. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/electronic s12143106>
 16. Hutter F, Hoos HH, Leyton-Brown K. Sequential model-based optimization for general algorithm configuration. In *International Conference on Learning and Intelligent Optimization* (pp. 507-523). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; 2011.
 17. Yang M, Lim MK, Qu Y, Li X, Ni D. Deep neural networks with L1 and L2 regularization for high dimensional corporate credit risk prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2023;213: 118873. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.118873>
 18. Bates S, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Cross-validation: What does it estimate and how well does it do it? *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 2023;1–12. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2023.2197686>
 19. Snoek J, Larochelle H, Adams RP. Practical bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2012;2951-2959.
 20. NP, Sugave S. Optimizing machine learning models: An adaptive hyperparameter tuning approach. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*. 2023;11(4):344–354. Available:<https://ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/3532>
 21. Hsu CW, Chang C-C, Lin C-J. *A practical guide to support vector classification*; 2016.
 22. Breiman L. *Machine learning*. 2001; 45(1):5–32. DOI: 10.1023/a:1010933404324
 23. N P, Sugave S. Ensemble approach with hyperparameter tuning for credit worthiness prediction. 2022 IEEE 3rd Global Conference for Advancement in Technology (GCAT); 2022. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1109/gcat55367.2022.9971879>
 24. Shetty P, Udhayakumar R, Patil A, Manwal M, Vadar PS, NP. Application of natural language processing (NLP) in machine learning. 2023 3rd International Conference on Advancement in Electronics & Communication Engineering (AECE); 2023. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1109/aece59614.2023.10428345>

25. Bergstra J, Bengio Y. Random search for hyper-parameter optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 2012;13(2).
26. Santos EC, Monteiro GL, Moura-Pires F. A genetic algorithm for neural network hyperparameter optimization. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*. 2010;217-225.
27. Prechelt L. Early stopping-but when? In *Neural Networks: Tricks of the trade* (pp. 55-69). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; 1998.
28. Li L, Jamieson KG, DeSalvo G, Rostamizadeh A, Talwalkar A. Hyperband: A novel bandit-based approach to hyperparameter optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 2017;18(1):6765-6816.
29. Andrychowicz M, Denil M, Gomez S, Hoffman MW, Pfau D, Schaul T, de Freitas N. Learning to learn by gradient descent by gradient descent. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2016; 3981-3989.
30. Kandasamy K, Dasarathy G, Oliva JB, Schneider J, Póczos B. Multi-fidelity bayesian optimisation with continuous approximations. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2016;48:1799-1808.
31. Feurer M, Klein A, Eggenberger K, Springenberg JT, Blum M, Hutter F. Efficient and robust automated machine learning. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2015; 2962-2970.
32. Goyal P. et al. Accurate, large minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour; 2018.
33. Molnar C, Casalicchio G, Bischl B. Interpretable machine learning – A brief history, state-of-the-art and challenges. *ECML PKDD 2020 Workshops*. 2020;417–431. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65965-3_28
34. Elsken T, Metzen JH, Hutter F. Neural architecture search: A survey. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*. 2019;20(55): 1-21.
35. Henderson P, Islam R, Bachman P, Pineau J, Precup D, Meger D. Deep reinforcement learning that matters. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 2018;32(1).
36. Friedman JH. Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine.', *The Annals of Statistics*. 2001;29(5). DOI: 10.1214/aos/1013203451

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/118312>