



A Look at Genomic Selection Techniques for Climate Change Adaptation and Production in Livestock

Anjali Arya ^{a*}, Prachi Sharma ^b, M. M. Trivedi ^a, R. J. Modi ^a,
and Y. G. Patel ^a

^a Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, Anand-388001 (Gujarat), India.

^b Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University Anand, 388001, Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62059>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116804>

Review Article

Received: 03/03/2024

Accepted: 08/05/2024

Published: 11/05/2024

ABSTRACT

Livestock production profoundly intersects with global climate dynamics, contributing to greenhouse gas emissions and confronting vulnerability to climate impacts. In addressing these challenges, imperative adjustments are requisite to fortify the climate robustness of livestock systems. Notably, the prevalent reliance on commercial breeds with limited genetic diversity exposes production strategies to disruption, especially if these breeds are confined to environments that may lose economic viability under future climate scenarios. Consequently, understanding the adaptability of animal populations to forthcoming environmental conditions is paramount for sustaining livestock production. Assessing the genetic underpinnings of climate adaptation necessitates the exploration

*Corresponding author: E-mail: anjaliarya2609@gmail.com;

of tailored genomic selection techniques encompassing both production traits, presumed to have moderate heritability, and adaptation traits, presumed to have low heritability. Through a nuanced examination of genomic selection dynamics, insights into the genetic mechanisms fostering resilience in livestock populations amidst shifting environmental contexts are garnered. Employ genomic analysis to pinpoint genetic markers associated with traits like heat tolerance, disease resistance, and feed efficiency in livestock. Collaborate across disciplines to develop tailored breeding programs integrating these markers, and validate their effectiveness through rigorous field trials and ongoing monitoring to enhance livestock resilience and productivity in varied climatic conditions. Elucidating these mechanisms and their application in breeding programs offers a comprehensive understanding of how genetic advancements can enhance both production efficiency and climate resilience in livestock. This discourse aims to bridge the chasm between scientific inquiry and pragmatic implementation, thereby facilitating informed decision-making in livestock breeding strategies tailored to mitigate the ramifications of climate change.

Keywords: Livestock; climate; genomic selection; breeding strategies; ramifications; livestock.

1. INTRODUCTION

The adaptation of livestock to environmental challenges is increasingly critical for optimizing cost-effective animal production, particularly as climatic conditions shift towards warmer temperatures, rendering disease propagation more favorable and escalating production costs [1,2]. Among these challenges, heat stress emerges as a predominant factor significantly impacting livestock production dynamics [3]. Empirical evidence suggests that heat stress induces a notable decrease in milk production by 5–15% and adversely affects conception rates in cattle [4]. Furthermore, chronic exposure to stress triggers metabolic perturbations, precipitating stress-related pathologies and compromising innate immune responses [5].

However, there exist compensatory mechanisms within selection processes to mitigate the impacts of thermal stress. Notably, traits such as the slick hair coat phenotype play pivotal roles in thermoregulatory mechanisms observed in tropically adapted cattle breeds [6]. Climate change poses significant challenges to existing livestock systems on a global scale, exerting profound influences on feed availability, water resources, animal health, and overall production dynamics [7,8]. The resultant implications extend across the entire livestock product supply chain, encompassing processing, storage, transport, retail, and consumption [9]. In light of these unprecedented challenges, livestock farming confronts the dual imperatives of sustaining productivity levels while effectively adapting to shifting environmental conditions.

In this context, genomic selection techniques emerge as promising strategies to address these

multifaceted challenges by facilitating the breeding of livestock populations endowed with traits conducive to resilience and productivity amidst evolving climatic conditions [10].

Genomic selection is a methodology that employs genomic data to estimate the breeding value of animals. While traditional breeding methods rely on observable traits and genetic relatedness, genomic selection delves deeper by leveraging comprehensive genomic information [11]. By scrutinizing specific genetic markers associated with desirable traits like disease resistance, heat tolerance, and feed efficiency, genomic selection empowers breeders to make more precise predictions regarding an animal's genetic potential [12]. In practical terms, adaptation encompasses a spectrum of traits, including those affecting fitness such as longevity and disease resistance. Typically, these traits exhibit low heritability and have experienced decline concurrent with the increase in milk production [13]. Genetic correlations between milk yield and functional longevity have been estimated to range from -0.11 to -0.84 [14,15,16]. Given the generally low heritability of adaptation to local environments and the potential for antagonistic genetic correlations with milk production, implementing long-term and efficient breeding strategies becomes imperative.

In the face of a rapidly changing environment, one viable approach involves introgressing locally adaptive genes identified in indigenous breeds into major production breeds or vice versa [17,18,4,19]. Consequently, an efficient strategy may necessitate the swift introduction of adaptive traits into commercial breeds, or alternatively, the replacement of these breeds

with populations better suited to prevailing environmental conditions [20].

Adaptation and production are considered polygenic traits, meaning they are influenced by multiple genes. Ødegard et al. [21] conducted simulations of a fish breeding scheme where both production traits and disease resistance were polygenic and varied in heritability. They found that genomic selection yielded greater genetic improvements compared to classical selection methods, particularly in introgression backcrossing schemes. Notably, the largest gains were observed for traits with low heritability and those not directly recorded in selection candidates. Similarly, Åby and Meuwissen [22] simulated two divergent populations of livestock based on their production and fitness profiles, employing both pure and crossbreeding strategies across discrete generations. Both production and fitness were considered polygenic traits with moderate heritability and no genetic correlation between them. Their findings demonstrated that selection based on breeding values estimated through genomic best linear unbiased prediction (GBLUP) outperformed conventional breeding value estimation methods (BLUP) in terms of genetic enhancements in both production and fitness.

In conclusion, genomic selection demonstrates efficacy in facilitating the integration of traits with low heritability into populations exhibiting high production levels, especially when the traits in question, including both the introgressed trait and production performance, are polygenic and exhibit no genetic correlation.

2. ADAPTATION OF LIVESTOCK TO CLIMATE CHANGE

According to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), climate change refers to modifications that are either directly or indirectly caused by human activity and that cause the composition of the Earth's atmosphere to change, leading to noticeable variations in the natural variability of the climate over similar time periods. The main cause of climate change is the increased emissions of greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide, which create a "greenhouse effect" that traps energy in the Earth's atmosphere and causes global warming. This phenomenon manifests in extreme weather patterns, compromised food security, and heightened incidence of vector-related and climate-related

diseases and fatalities [23]. Evidence suggests that diverse human-induced activities have led to substantial releases of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, thus exacerbating climate change and global warming. The majority of these emissions arise from burning fossil fuels for energy production, alongside deforestation, industrial processes, and agricultural practices, all of which serve as significant contributors (Hickmann et al., 2021).

The ramifications of climate change span various sectors of society and are intricately interconnected. Drought conditions can detrimentally impact food production and human health while flooding events can facilitate disease transmission and inflict damage on ecosystems and infrastructure. Human health concerns stemming from climate change can escalate mortality rates, disrupt food availability, and curtail workforce productivity [23]. Climate change impacts pervade all facets of the world; however, their distribution is uneven across regions and even within individual communities, exhibiting disparities between neighborhoods or individuals. In response to the changing environmental landscape intensified by climate change, the importance of adaptation in livestock breeding is heightened to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions [24]. Although increased production has already contributed to reductions in greenhouse gas emissions within many global livestock populations, achieving sustainable and resilient production in such intensified contexts may necessitate prioritizing traits such as adaptation, feed efficiency, fertility, and health over simply focusing on production increases (Thornton et al., 2017).

The adaptation of livestock to environmental challenges is increasingly crucial for maintaining cost-effective animal production, particularly in light of escalating temperatures, heightened disease susceptibility, and anticipated rises in production expenses [1]. Among these challenges, heat stress emerges as a significant factor significantly impacting livestock productivity [25]. Empirical evidence indicates that heat stress induces a notable decrease in milk production by 5–15% and adversely affects conception rates in cattle [4]. Furthermore, chronic exposure to stress triggers metabolic perturbations, resulting in stress-related diseases and suppression of innate immunity [5]. Nevertheless, selective breeding can mitigate the impacts of thermal stress, with the slick hair coat

phenotype aiding in thermoregulation among tropically adapted cattle breeds [6].

3. THE GENETIC BASIS OF ADAPTABILITY

Adaptation encompasses various traits, including those related to fitness such as longevity and disease resistance, which typically exhibit low heritability and have declined with the increase in milk production [13]. Genetic correlations between milk yield and functional longevity have been estimated to range from -0.11 to -0.84 [14]. Given the generally low heritability of adaptation to local environments and potential antagonistic genetic correlations with milk production, long-term and efficient breeding strategies are imperative.

Three primary strategies can be considered for genetically altering livestock for heat tolerance, including the selection of breeds or crossbreds known for their heat tolerance, the introgression of genes associated with thermotolerance, and genomic selection based on criteria linked to heat tolerance [26,27]. In tropical climates, *Bos indicus* breeds are often crossed with temperate dairy breeds to combine the heat and parasite resistance of *Bos indicus* with the production qualities of temperate breeds [26,27]. Additionally, temperate breeds also exhibit variability in heat tolerance. For example, New Zealand Holsteins experience greater reductions in milk yield in hotter climates compared to Jerseys or crossbreds [28]. In New Zealand, reductions in yield were observed when temperatures exceeded 21°C and 25°C, respectively, at 75% humidity for Holsteins and Jerseys [28]. *Bos indicus* breeds in tropical climates are frequently crossbred with temperate dairy breeds to amalgamate the heat and parasite resistance characteristic of *Bos indicus* with the production attributes of temperate breeds [26,27]. Moreover, temperate breeds exhibit varying degrees of heat tolerance, as evidenced by New Zealand Holsteins displaying greater reductions in milk yield under hotter conditions compared to Jerseys or crossbreds [28]. In New Zealand, reductions in yield occurred when temperatures exceeded 21°C and 25°C, respectively, at 75% humidity for Holsteins and Jerseys [28].

Introgression involves the incorporation of favorable alleles from a distinctly different breed, such as the slick hair gene from Senepol cattle. Traditionally, introgression entails crossing back

to the original breed to reintroduce favorable dairy traits from the base breed, a process that necessitates multiple generations of crossing and is consequently time-consuming and inefficient. The mutation responsible for the slick coat phenotype involves a deletion in an exon of the prolactin receptor in Senepol cattle, predominantly associated with the coat type and exhibiting some alterations to sweating ability [27]. Breeding programs have initiated the incorporation of the slick mutation into Holstein cattle [29].

Adaptation and production are presumed to be polygenic traits. Ødegård et al. [30] simulated a fish breeding scheme wherein both production and disease resistance were polygenic with varying heritability levels. They concluded that, contrary to classical selection methods, genomic selection enhanced genetic gains in introgression backcrossing schemes, particularly for traits with low heritability and those not recorded in selection candidates. Criteria for selecting heat tolerance may encompass body temperature, respiration rate, heart rate, sweating rate, reduction in intake, or milk yield. However, for practical purposes, the impact of Temperature-Humidity Index (THI) on production traits is often prioritized [29].

4. GENOMIC SELECTION

The application of genomic tools within the framework of genetic enhancement in cattle constitutes a significant component of animal selection programs, as highlighted by studies [31,32]. Advancements in DNA sequencing and high-throughput genotyping techniques have facilitated the identification of a large number of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in livestock [33]. Commercially available automated methods for SNP genotyping have further streamlined the process. The utilization of dense SNP arrays covering the entire bovine genome has been instrumental in elucidating the majority of genetic variations associated with key livestock traits [22], underscoring the significance of genomic selection (GS).

Genomic selection involves making breeding decisions based on genomic estimated breeding values (GEBVs), which are calculated by integrating SNP/genotypic data with phenotypic and pedigree data to enhance the accuracy of breeding value predictions. The cost of marker technology has been steadily decreasing with the proliferation of available markers. By accelerating

selection cycles, GS offers the potential to augment selection gains per unit of time [34,22], thereby shortening the generational interval and expediting genetic improvements [35,36]. The wealth of genomic information can predict the genetic merit of young animals with up to twice the accuracy of traditional parent averages, suggesting that GS may supplant traditional breeding systems in the near future.

Utilizing high-throughput SNP assays or genome sequencing for animal characterization shows potential in elucidating the physiological underpinnings of adaptation. Initiatives like the species-wide HapMap by Jiang et al. [37] and multi-species studies as demonstrated by Ajmone-Marsan et al. [38] signify substantial progress in understanding the genome and its contribution to adaptation.

Genomic selection has the capacity to accelerate both purebred and crossbreeding programs for adaptation, given the availability of phenotypic data [39]. Furthermore, genomic selection for heat stress resistance is feasible. A study has delineated a reference set of genotyped sires possessing phenotypic information regarding their daughters' milk production response to heat stress. This response was predicted based on temperature and humidity measurements obtained from weather stations proximal to the farms where the cows were milked [40]. The accuracy of genomic prediction for heat stress tolerance was moderate, with a coefficient of determination (R-squared) of 0.37. Notably, this accuracy surpassed that of pedigree-based predictions, which yielded an R-squared value of 0.16.

5. GENOTYPING WITH HIGH DENSITY AND THROUGHPUT.

In the entire population, each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) marker exists in two different forms. Numerous SNP markers, numbering in the hundreds or thousands, are distributed evenly across the entire genome in various livestock species. Advancements in laboratory technology have enabled the simultaneous extraction of all chromosomes for SNP markers in a single step process, known as high-density and high-throughput genotyping or typing. This process is facilitated by specialized chips, such as those carrying 10K, 50K, or 700K markers, which allow for the simultaneous testing of multiple markers. The first commercially available high-density genotyping assay was the

10K SNP chip developed by Affymetrix (Bovine HapMap Consortium et al., 2009). However, this initial panel lacked sufficient SNP coverage for many genomic studies, necessitating the development of more comprehensive high-density chips.

Consequently, the Illumina Bovine SNP50 chip was introduced, featuring approximately 50,000 SNPs per animal. This chip, developed by a consortium of animal scientists utilizing SNP discovery populations in Holstein, Angus, and mixed beef cattle breeds, became the international standard for genomic selection and genome-wide association studies in cattle. Moreover, it was utilized to investigate evolutionary relationships among horned ruminant species [41,42]. Subsequent advancements led to the development of even higher density SNP genotyping chips, such as the Illumina chip introduced in 2010. This chip, employing bead technology and single base extension chemistry similar to the Bovine SNP50K chip, is capable of simultaneously genotyping approximately 700,000 SNPs.

6. ASSESSING THE CONSEQUENCES OF SNPs

SNP datasets are commonly characterized by their substantial size. For example, genotyping 2,000 animals for 10,000 SNP markers yields approximately 20 million data points. Assuming bi-allelic markers, this entails estimating 20,000 effects or covariates. Researchers have proposed three fundamental strategies for SNP selection: random selection [43], uniform distribution throughout the genome [44], and prioritization of markers with the most significant effects on the analyzed trait (Zhang et al., 2012).

Statistical analysis in genomic selection experiments, as outlined by Meuwissen et al. [22], aims to elucidate the effects of all alleles or markers on performance traits. However, classical statistical methodologies encounter challenges due to a scarcity of degrees of freedom, a circumstance often referred to as the "large p, small n problem." This arises because the number of phenotypes is typically substantially lower than the number of markers, leading to insufficient degrees of freedom to estimate all marker effects through ordinary least squares regression. Consequently, models may be susceptible to multicollinearity, particularly as markers in close proximity are expected to exhibit high correlation [45].

To address the challenge posed by the limited degrees of freedom in estimating SNP effects, several statistical methodologies have been proposed. Among these, three prominent approaches are commonly employed: the Least Squares Method, Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (BLUP), and Bayesian Estimation Method. In the Least Squares Method, each marker is individually tested for its statistical significance, with non-significant markers having their effects set to zero [43,22]. Subsequently, significant markers are collectively included in the model for simultaneous analysis. The BLUP method treats allelic effects as random rather than fixed, circumventing the need for degrees of freedom. This enables the estimation of all allelic effects simultaneously. However, BLUP requires an estimate of the variance of the allelic effect, assuming similar variance across all markers [43].

Analytical methodologies for genome-based prediction of genetic values vary in their assumptions regarding marker effects [46,22]. Genomic Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (GBLUP) represents a straightforward extension of the polygenic Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (BLUP) approach. In GBLUP, all markers are assigned equal weight, overlooking the true genetic architecture of the trait. The covariance between the genomic breeding values of two individuals is proportional to their genomic similarity, based on the proportion of the genome they share. GBLUP is particularly effective for highly polygenic traits. Ridge Regression–Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (RR–BLUP) assumes that all marker effects are normally distributed and possess identical variance [22]. This method treats SNP effects as random variables "m".

Bayesian methods, such as Bayes A, assume that markers exhibit varying variances (Meuwissen et al., 2001). These approaches allow for a more flexible modeling of marker effects, accommodating potential differences in the contributions of individual markers to the trait variation.

7. ROLE OF GENOMIC SELECTION IN ENVIRONMENTAL TOLERANCE

Genomic selection stands as a pivotal technology in this domain, optimizing the investment allocated to gathering valuable phenotypic data, notably individual animal records pertaining to methane emissions [47]. The documented contributions of livestock to

global greenhouse gas emissions underscore the urgency of mitigating enteric methane emissions through genetic selection. This can be achieved by enhancing feed efficiency or directly targeting methane-related traits. Environmental adaptation is a crucial consideration, aiming to breed animals resilient to changes in environmental conditions, particularly the temperature-humidity index (THI) [48]. Genomic data can discern cows less susceptible to heat stress, with notable variations in the onset point of heat stress-induced impairments and the rate of decline in health, fertility, and performance beyond this threshold. Similar methodologies can be applied to model animal responses to various environmental or management challenges, such as pathogen exposure or dietary protein reduction. Animal breeding emerges as a viable strategy for reducing methane (CH₄) emissions, offering a sustainable and cumulative reduction in population emissions. While breeding has historically increased production, subsequently decreasing emissions per unit of output, greater reductions may be achieved by utilizing Genomic Selection (GS) to prioritize traits more closely correlated with methane emissions than with production.

Three primary pathways have been proposed for CH₄ reduction via genetic selection: enhancing productivity and efficiency (e.g., residual feed intake, longevity), minimizing farming system wastage, and directly selecting for emissions or related proxies [49]. These strategies hold promise for achieving sustainable reductions in methane emissions within livestock populations.

Current estimates indicate that correlations between methane emissions and other traits suggest minimal impacts on reproduction and health traits through breeding for reduced methane emissions. However, correlations exist between methane emission, milk production, and dry matter intake. Selective breeding against methane production has the potential to yield healthy, fertile, and long-lived cows that emit lower methane levels. Nonetheless, further analyses on larger datasets are warranted to confirm correlations with other traits. Accurate estimation of these correlation structures is crucial for appropriately weighting methane emission in breeding goals and mitigating unfavorable correlated responses in production, fertility, longevity, or health traits [50].

Enhancements in genetic traits related to milk production and functionality play a significant role

in mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with milk production [51]. This effect stems from the increased allocation of feed resources toward milk production compared to maintenance, a phenomenon referred to as the dilution effect. Consequently, there is a reduction in both the quantity of feed required and GHG emissions per unit of milk produced [52]. Projections suggest that the dilution effect will persist, leading to further reductions in GHG emissions in the coming years.

In genomic evaluation, the availability of ample observations from genotyped reference animals and their progeny is critical for enhancing accuracy [53]. As the population of genotyped animals expands, the precision of genetic evaluation escalates, diminishing the relative importance of individual record data. Moreover, genomic evaluation contributes to managing the rate of inbreeding within breeding programs [54]. Through the utilization of genomic information, rather than pedigree data, for estimating breeding values, the selection of animals from diverse lineages becomes more viable, consequently mitigating the risk of inbreeding [55].

8. CONCLUSION

Genomic selection methodologies present a potent solution for mitigating the impacts of climate change and optimizing efficiency in livestock production systems. Leveraging extensive genomic data, breeders can strategically select animals possessing traits optimized for prevailing environmental conditions, thereby augmenting resilience and sustainability amidst shifting climatic dynamics. Through ongoing advancements and cooperative efforts, genomic selection stands poised to transform the landscape of livestock breeding, heralding a future characterized by enhanced adaptability and productivity within agricultural domains.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO). Coping with climate change - the roles of genetic resources for food and agriculture. Rome; 2015.
2. Phocas F, Belloc C, Bidanel J, Delaby L, Dourmad JY, Dumont B, Ezanno P,

- Fortun-Lamothe L, Foucras G, Frappat B, González-García E. Towards the agroecological management of ruminants, pigs and poultry through the development of sustainable breeding programmes: I-selection goals and criteria. *Animal*. 2016; 10(11):1749-59.
3. Niyas PA, Chaidanya K, Shaji S, Sejian V, Bhatta R. Adaptation of livestock to environmental challenges. *J Vet Sci Med Diagn*. 2015;4(3):2.
4. Berman A. Invited review: Are adaptations present to support dairy cattle productivity in warm climates? *Journal of dairy science*. 2011;94(5):2147-58.
5. Das R, Sailo L, Verma N, Bharti P, Saikia J, Kumar R. Impact of heat stress on health and performance of dairy animals: A review. *Veterinary world*. 2016;9(3):260.
6. Pitt D, Bruford MW, Barbato M, Orozco-terWengel P, Martínez R, Sevane N. Demography and rapid local adaptation shape Creole cattle genome diversity in the tropics. *Evolutionary Applications*. 2019;12(1):105-22.
7. Bernatchez L, Ferchaud AL, Berger CS, Venney CJ, Xuereb A. Genomics for monitoring and understanding species responses to global climate change. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2024 Mar;25(3): 165-83.
8. Sinha D, Maurya AK, Abdi G, Majeed M, Agarwal R, Mukherjee R, Ganguly S, Aziz R, Bhatia M, Majgaonkar A, Seal S. Integrated genomic selection for accelerating breeding programs of climate-smart cereals. *Genes*. 2023 Jul 21;14(7): 1484.
9. Godde CM, Mason-D'Croz D, Mayberry DE, Thornton PK, Herrero M. Impacts of climate change on the livestock food supply chain; a review of the evidence. *Global food security*. 2021; 28:100488.
10. Wray-Cahen D, Bodnar A, Rexroad C, Siewerdt F, Kovich D. Advancing genome editing to improve the sustainability and resiliency of animal agriculture. *CABI Agriculture and Bioscience*. 2022; 3(1):1-7.
11. Banos G, Coffey M. Opportunities for genomic selection in dairy cattle breeding programmes: Review. *Animal*, 2017; 11(10):1379-1386.
12. Strandén I, Kantanen J, Russo IR, Orozco-terWengel P, Bruford MW, Climgen Consortium. Genomic selection strategies for breeding adaptation and production in

- dairy cattle under climate change. *Heredity*. 2019; 123(3):307-17.
13. Mirkena T, Duguma G, Haile A, Tibbo M, Okeyo AM, Wurzinger M, Sölkner J. Genetics of adaptation in domestic farm animals: A review. *Livestock Science*. 2010;132(1-3):1-2.
 14. Sasaki O. Estimation of genetic parameters for longevity traits in dairy cattle: A review with focus on the characteristics of analytical models. *Animal Science Journal*. 2013; 84(6):449-60.
 15. Pritchard T, Coffey M, Mrode R, Wall E. Genetic parameters for production, health, fertility and longevity traits in dairy cows. *Animal*. 2013a; 7(1):34-46.
 16. Pritchard T, Coffey M, Mrode R, Wall E. Understanding the genetics of survival in dairy cows. *Journal of dairy science*. 2013b;96(5):3296-309.
 17. Nardone A, Ronchi B, Lacetera N, Bernabucci U. Climatic effects on productive traits in livestock. *Veterinary Research Communications*. 2006; 30:75.
 18. Hoffmann I. Climate change and the characterization, breeding and conservation of animal genetic resources. *Animal genetics*. 2010; 41:32-46.
 19. Hoffmann I. Adaptation to climate change—exploring the potential of locally adapted breeds. *Animal*. 2013; 7(s2):346-62.
 20. Aby BA, Meuwissen T. Selection strategies utilizing genetic resources to adapt livestock to climate change. In *Proceedings of the 10th World Congress of Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Vancouver, Canada, August 2014*; 17-22.
 21. Ødegard J, Yazdi MH, Sonesson AK, Meuwissen TH. Incorporating desirable genetic characteristics from an inferior into a superior population using genomic selection. *Genetics*. 2009b; 181(2):737-45.
 22. Meuwissen TH, Hayes BJ, Goddard M. Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *genetics*. 2001; 157(4):1819-29.
 23. IPCC. *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. IPCC. 2014.
 24. Thornton PK, van de Steeg J, Notenbaert A, Herrero M. The impacts of climate change on livestock and livestock systems in developing countries: A review of what we know and what we need to know. *Agricultural systems*. 2009; 101(3):113-27.
 25. Shaji S, Niyas P, Chaidanya K, Sejian V, Bhatta R. Ameliorative strategies to sustain livestock production during heat stress. *Journal of Veterinary Science and Medical Diagnosis* 2015; 4:2.
 26. Burrow HM, Mans BJ, Cardoso FF, Birkett MA, Kotze AC, Hayes BJ, Mapholi N, Dzama K, Marufu MC, Githaka NW, Djikeng A. Towards a new phenotype for tick resistance in beef and dairy cattle: A review. *Animal Production Science*. 2019; 59(8):1401-27.
 27. Davis SR, Spelman RJ, Littlejohn MD. Breeding heat tolerant dairy cattle: the case for introgression of the "slick" prolactin receptor variant into *Bos taurus* dairy breeds. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2017; 95(4):1788-800.
 28. Bryant JR, López-Villalobos N, Pryce JE, Holmes CW, Johnson DL. Quantifying the effect of thermal environment on production traits in three breeds of dairy cattle in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2007; 50(3):327-38.
 29. Carabaño MJ, Ramón M, Menéndez-Buxadera A, Molina A, Díaz C. Selecting for heat tolerance. *Animal Frontiers*. 2019; 9(1):62-68.
 30. Ødegård J, Sonesson AK, Yazdi MH, Meuwissen TH. Introgression of a major QTL from an inferior into a superior population using genomic selection. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. 2009a; 41:1-0.
 31. Mouresan EF, Selle M, Rönnegård L. Genomic prediction including SNP-specific variance predictors. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*. 2019; 9(10):3333-43.
 32. Gutierrez-Reinoso MA, Aponte PM, Garcia-Herreros M. Genomic analysis, progress and future perspectives in dairy cattle selection: a review. *Animals*. 2021; 11(3):599.
 33. Van Tassell CP, Smith TP, Matukumalli LK, Taylor JF, Schnabel RD, Lawley CT, Haudenschild CD, Moore SS, Warren WC, Sonstegard TS. SNP discovery and allele frequency estimation by deep sequencing of reduced representation libraries. *Nature methods*. 2008; 5(3):247-52.
 34. Boichard D, Chung H, Dasonneville R, David X, Eggen A, Fritz S, Gietzen KJ, Hayes BJ, Lawley CT, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP. Design of a bovine low-density SNP array optimized for imputation. *PLoS one*. 2012;7(3):e34130.

35. Georges M, Massey JM. Velogenetics, or the synergistic use of marker assisted selection and germ-line manipulation. *Theriogenology*. 1991; 35(1):151-9.
36. Peippo J, Viitala S, Virta J, Rätty M, Tammiranta N, Lamminen T, Aro J, Myllymäki H, Vilkki J. Birth of correctly genotyped calves after multiplex marker detection from bovine embryo microblade biopsies. *Molecular Reproduction and Development: Incorporating Gamete Research*. 2007;74(11):1373-8.
37. Jiang X, Zhao Y, Wang X, Malin B, Wang S, Ohno-Machado L, Tang H. A community assessment of privacy preserving techniques for human genomes. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*. 2014; 14:1-0.
38. Ajmone-Marsan P, Colli L, Han JL, Achilli A, Lancioni H, Joost S, Crepaldi P, Pilla F, Stella A, Taberlet P, Boettcher P. The characterization of goat genetic diversity: Towards a genomic approach. *Small Ruminant Research*. 2014; 121(1):58-72.
39. Hayes BJ, Lewin HA, Goddard ME. The future of livestock breeding: genomic selection for efficiency, reduced emissions intensity, and adaptation. *Trends in genetics*. 2013; 29(4):206-14.
40. Hayes BJ, Daetwyler HD, Bowman P, Moser G, Tier B, Crump R, Khatkar M, Raadsma HW, Goddard ME. Accuracy of genomic selection: comparing theory and results. *In Proc Assoc Advmt Anim Breed Genet* 2009; 18(18): 34-37.
41. Decker JE, Pires JC, Conant GC, McKay SD, Heaton MP, Chen K, Cooper A, Vilkki J, Seabury CM, Caetano AR, Johnson GS. Resolving the evolution of extant and extinct ruminants with high-throughput phylogenomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2009; 106(44):18644-9.
42. MacEachern S, Hayes B, McEwan J, Goddard M. An examination of positive selection and changing effective population size in Angus and Holstein cattle populations (*Bos taurus*) using a high density SNP genotyping platform and the contribution of ancient polymorphism to genomic diversity in Domestic cattle. *BMC genomics*. 2009; 10:1-9.
43. Vazquez AI, Bates DM, Rosa GJ, Gianola D, Weigel KA. an R package for fitting generalized linear mixed models in animal breeding. *Journal of animal science*. 2010; 88(2):497-504.
44. Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ, Meredith RW, Ödeen A. Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. *Science*. 2014; 346(6215):1311-20.
45. Solberg TR, Sonesson AK, Woolliams JA, Meuwissen TH. Genomic selection using different marker types and densities. *Journal of animal science*. 2008; 86(10):2447-54
46. Habier D, Fernando RL, Kizilkaya K, Garrick DJ. Extension of the Bayesian alphabet for genomic selection. *BMC bioinformatics*. 2011; 12:1-2.
47. Pryce JE, Haile-Mariam M. Symposium review: Genomic selection for reducing environmental impact and adapting to climate change. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2020; 103(6):5366-75.
48. Garner JB, Douglas ML, Williams SO, Wales WJ, Maret LC, Nguyen TT, Reich CM, Hayes BJ. Genomic selection improves heat tolerance in dairy cattle. *Scientific reports*. 2016; 6(1):34114.
49. Herd RM, Arthur PF, Donoghue KA, Bird SH, Bird GT. (2014). Genetic opportunities to reduce enteric methane emissions from ruminant livestock. *Animal Production Science*. 2014; 54(4): 519-547.
50. Haas Y, Windschnurer I. Genetic variation and covariation among methane emission, performance and conformation traits in Austrian Fleckvieh and Brown Swiss bulls. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*. 2016; 133(5): 357-368.
51. Shalloo L, Cromie A, McHugh N, Buckley F. Dairy farm greenhouse gas emissions in Ireland: A proposed methodology for a carbon footprinting system. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2014;152(1): 12-24.
52. Thorup VM, Edwards GR, Friggens NC, Lovendahl P, Lund P. The effect of genetic selection for milk yield on enteric methane emission from dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 2016; 99(6): 4561-4573.
53. VanRaden PM, Van Tassell CP, Wiggans GR, Sonstegard TS, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Schenkel FS. Invited review: Reliability of genomic predictions for North American Holstein bulls. *Journal of dairy science*. 2009; 92(1):16-24.
54. Solberg TR, Sonesson AK, Woolliams JA, Meuwissen TH. Genomic selection using different marker types and densities.

- Journal of animal science. 2008; 86(10): 2447-54.
55. Pryce JE, Haile-Mariam M, Goddard ME, Hayes BJ. Identification of genomic regions associated with inbreeding depression in Holstein and Jersey dairy cattle. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. 2014; 46:1-4.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116804>