

American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 7(1): 62-69, 2015, Article no.AJEA.2015.106 ISSN: 2231-0606



2

Cointegration and Market Integration: An Application to Hides and '*Pomo'* Markets in Nigeria

O. Yusuf^{1*} and J. O. Olukosi¹

¹Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author OY designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author JOO read and approved the final manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEA/2015/9960 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Frantisek Brazdik , Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic. (2) Hassen B. Hussien, Department of Agricultural Economics, Wollo University, Ethiopia. (3) Vincenzo Tufarelli, Department of DETO - Section of Veterinary Science and Animal Production, University of Bari "Aldo Moro", Italy. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Anonymous, Ethiopia. (2) Huijian Dong, College of Business, Pacific University, Oregon, USA. (3) Anonymous, Russia. (4) K.K. Bolarinwa, Department of Agricultural Administration Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=915&id=2&aid=7958</u>

Original Research Article

Received 10th March 2014 Accepted 25th June 2014 Published 30th January 2015

ABSTRACT

Aim: To investigate long run and short run relationship between the prices of hides and 'pomo' in Kano and Lagos markets in Nigeria, using cointegration techniques.

Study design: The study made use of purely secondary data the prices of hides for the past twenty one years (1988 – 2009) in Kano State and the prices of *'pomo'* for the same number of years in Lagos State to see whether the two markets are cointegrated or fragmented.

Place and Duration of Study: From the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Livestock and Veterinary Unit, Gwale, Kano and Federal Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Lagos, in July, 2011.

Methodology: Data on prices of hides and '*pomo*' over a period of twenty one years (1988 – 2009) were used. Unit root test and Johansen cointegration test were carried to find out whether the markets in the two locations are cointegrated or fragmented.

Results: The result showed that there was no cointegration between the two markets. The result of similar trend in the prices of hides and 'pomo' in the two markets may be attributed to other

factors such as inflation and festivities.

Conclusion: There is no long run relationship in the price of hides and '*pomo*' in the two markets. Therefore, there should be government's commitment to policies that can reduce inflation rate so that prevailing market prices of hides and skins can be stable in different market locations.

Keywords: Cointegration; market integration; hides; pomo; Nigeria.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hides and skins are the main export income generators from the livestock sector in Africa. In the year 2000, Africa's share of total world production was only 5% of bovine hides, 14% of goat and kidskins and 8% of sheep and lambskins [1]. [2] discovered that hides and skins are obtainable in commercial quantities from abattoirs located all over the country, especially in the Northern states of Nigeria. Finished leathers on the other hand are obtainable from tanneries and also from traditional tanners, both of which are also located in the Northern part of the country. According to [3] a large proportion of hides and skins from slaughters that take place in registered abattoirs and slaughterhouses, are eaten by human beings as meat supplements and delicacies usually called 'pomo' in Nigerian parlance. While the hides are flaved before reaching the 'pomo' eaters, the skins particularly, those of goats are usually roasted together with the entire animal. This serves as special delicacies in many Nigerian homes and restaurants.

'Pomo' is a hide that has undergone some processing such as roasting and boiling for human consumption as meat supplement and delicacy. Usually, it is cowhides that are used as *'pomo'* in Nigeria. The process of removing hairs from hides to become *'Pomo'* is traditionally done by roasting or by tenderizing the hides in hot water, followed by shaving with razor blade. It is then boiled in water to soften the hides before it is used in soup or stew. It has low nutritional value but many Nigerians enjoy eating it as delicacy especially in the western part of the country.

Cointegration has been used to measure movement in prices from one location to another to infer the conduct of a firm [4]. It is an econometric method that has been applied successfully to integration questions in marketing and financial studies [5]. It is an econometric property of time series variables. If two or more series are themselves non- stationary, but a linear combination of them is stationary, then the series are said to be cointegrated [6]. We need the two price series to be cointegrated to represent long run equilibrium relationships implied by economic theory. Also, many univariate economic time series appear to be integrated of order one [1 (1)] series which is a requirement for cointegration [7].

The integration test concerning the stationarity of any time series can be made using several Stationarity means that the techniques. stochastic properties of a time series (i.e the mean, the variance of the mean and the covariance of the mean with values of time series), are stationary and do not vary with time [8]. For price series with trends, one should test the individual series for integration and between the series for cointegration before modelling relationships between the series. Most economic time series are not stationary because, for example, the mean of the series changes with time, if only because of inflation or seasonality [5].

Several works have been conducted on crops and livestock using cointegration techniques. Information on market integration of hides and 'pomo' at different locations in Nigeria has been very scanty. This study therefore attempts to analyse the spatial price variations in hides and 'pomo' markets in Nigeria. It is also hoped that information from this study will help the policy makers and researchers of hides and skins in understanding the price movement of hides and 'pomo' in Nigeria. It will add to the existing knowledge on market integration of hides and 'pomo' in Nigeria.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

The broad objective of this study is to analyse cointegration and market integration in hides and *'pomo'* Markets in Nigeria. The specific objectives are to:

- 1. Determine the trends in the Prices of Hides in Kano and Lagos Markets (1988 2009)
- 2. Ascertain stationarity in the two markets
- 3. Determine whether the prices of hides and *'pomo'* in the two market are co-integrated

1.2 Conceptual Framework and Literature Review

Researches conducted in the late 70's and early 80's on time series data analysis [9,10,11,12,] had led to serious developments in the specification of time series data particularly the non stationary series. Macroeconomic timeseries such as income and prices data are mainly non stationary data which need to be made stationary before cointegration test can be carried out. This is against statistical estimation theory that is based on asymptotic convergence theorems which assume that data series are stationary. However, cointegration procedure measures the degree of price integration in two markets A and B. Two markets are said to be spatially integrated, if prices in a given market adjust to the price shock in the other market [13]. Market integration is tested using the cointegration method, which demanded that the prices of the two markets, say Pat and Pbt must have the same order of integration and a linear combination exists between these two series, where Pat is price in market A time t and Pbt is price in market B time t.

In recent time, [14] did a wonderful work in the application of cointegration to determine market integration in rice prices in selected areas in Nigeria. They observed that retail prices appear to be rising faster in Lagos than in any other centre. Indications are that prices of local rice rose more in Lagos than in Abuja and Enugu. [15] applied cointegration to examine the relationship between cocoa production in Nigeria and value of loan granted by Agricultural Credit Guarantee Scheme. It was found that there was no long run relationship between the output of cocoa and value of loans granted. However, [16] employed co-integration techniques in analyzing market integration in tomato and onion among various markets in Jigawa State. He discovered that those markets that were close together were more integrated than those far apart. [4] applied it to determine the price of sorghum and maize in two wholesale markets (rural and urban) in Nigeria. They observed that the markets are integrated and that price signals and information are transmitted smoothly across the markets. [17] applied cointegration to determining market integration in maize markets in Pakistan. It was found that the regional markets of maize have strong price linkages and thus are spatially integrated. [18] In his study tested whether the Law of One Price (LOP) holds for maize and rice spatial markets in Malawi using cointegration

techniques in testing the spatial market integration hypotheses. The results suggest that markets for rice crop with complete price liberalization are more integrated than markets for maize in which the governments still imposes a price band for Agricultural Marketing and Development Corporation (ADMARC).

important aspect of the However, an cointegration analysis concerns the specification of processes of dynamic adjustment. Engle-Granger representation theorem [19] states that if two series are cointegrated, then they will be most efficiently represented by an errorcorrection specification, and furthermore, if the are cointegrated, this dynamic series specification will encompass any other dynamic specification, including the partial adjustment model.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection

The study was conducted in Nigeria and the two markets selected were Kano market (where the largest market for the sales of hides in Nigeria is found) According to [20] over 85% of the tanneries in Nigeria are found in Kano State. Lagos market (where was expected to have the largest market for the sales of 'pomo'). Secondary data on the prices of hides for the past twenty one years (1988 - 2009) were gathered from the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Livestock and Veterinary Unit, Gwale, Kano. The prices of 'pomo' for the same years were also gathered from Federal Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Lagos, to find out if the price of 'pomo' in Lagos is influenced by the prices of hides in Kano and assess if the two markets are fragmented or cointegrated.

3.2 Analytical Techniques

Cointegration tests were conducted after examining the univariate time series properties of the data to confirm that the price series in the two markets are stationary and integrated of the same order. The first step is the unit root test, that is, test for stationarity of the series. In testing the null hypothesis of non stationarity against the alternative, Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) tests were employed. The ADF tests the null hypothesis that a series (P_t) is non-stationary and must be differenced at least once before stationarity is achieved. The equation of ADF is

$$\Delta P_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} P_{t-1} + \gamma_{t} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{k} \Delta P_{t-k} + e_{t}$$
(1)

Where $\Delta P_t = P_t - P_{t-1}$, $\Delta P_{t-k} = P_{t-k} - P_{t-k-1}$, k = 1, 2, 3, ...n, and P_t , P_{t-1} , P_{t-k} , P_{t-k-1} are the respective prices at times t, t-1,t-k and t-k-1. While β_0 , β_1 , γ_t and δ_k are parameters to be estimated, e_t = error term.

The alternative hypothesis is that the series is integrated of order 0, i.e. that no differencing of the series is needed to produce stationarity. Stationary series have a finite variance, transitory innovations from the mean, and a tendency for the series to return to its mean value. In contrast, the non-stationary series is one where the absolute value of the parameters is greater or equal to 1. Non stationary series have a variance which is asymptotically infinite: the series rarely cross the mean (in finite samples), and innovations to the series are permanent [14]. If Pt is found to be non-stationary then it should be determined whether Pt is stationary at first difference, if it is not stationary at first difference, it can also be determined at second difference until stationarity is achieved before we can test for cointegration.

3.3 Test for Cointegration

Having established that the two series are integrated of order 1, cointegration was carried out using Johansen cointegration test. Cointegration implies that there is a linear long-run relationship between price series in spatially separated markets, and is interpreted as a test that $r \neq 0$. This means there must be linear combination (r) of the series. The null hypothesis is that no cointegrating vector existing in the two markets against the alternative of existence of cointegrating vector in the two markets.

- Ho: r = 0 (no cointegrating vector existing in the two markets)
- Ha: r ≠ 0 (there is existence of cointegrating vector in the two markets)

If $r \neq 0$, it means that the price series are cointegrated and a long-run equilibrium relationship exists between the prices. The Johansen's cointegration method rejects the null given as follows:

hypothesis of no cointegration (r=0) when the log-likelihood of the unconstrained model that includes the cointegrating equations is significantly different from the log-likelihood of the constrained model that does not include the cointegrating equations. Cointegration tests for market integration is therefore tests of whether there is a statistically linear relationship between different data series [21]. If the two markets are cointegrated, the Johansen trace statistic will be greater than the critical value at both 1% or 5% level of probability. Also, the maximum Eigen statistic will be greater than the critical value at both 1% or 5% level of probability and vice versa. The equation for cointegration in markets i and j is as below:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\rm it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \mathbf{P}_{\rm it} + \boldsymbol{e}_t \tag{2}$$

Where P_{it} is price in market i time t, P_{jt} is price in market j time t, α and β_1 are parameters to be estimated, e_r = error term.

If the two markets are cointegrated, we can therefore proceed to Granger causality test to look at the direction of relationship and which market influences the other. Then, the next stage of error correction model (ECM). Cointegration of order 1,1 tests whether there is some linear combination of two series, both of which are integrated of order 1. If a linear combination of the two series is integrated of order 1, then the error term in the linear combination will be integrated of order 0. If the time series are not cointegrated, any linear combination of them will make them non stationary, and so will their residuals be.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Trends in the Prices of Hides in Kano and Lagos Markets (1988 – 2009)

Fig. 1 showed the trends in the average prices of hides in Kano and Lagos. The trends in the prices of hides in both Kano and Lagos markets were very similar. The average prices of hide was N500 and N550 per one whole piece (2.8kg) of hide between 1988 and 1999 in Kano and Lagos respectively. The average price of hide almost doubled between 1990 and 1993 in both locations. There was about 17% increase in the



Fig. 1. Trends in the average prices of hides in Kano and Lagos (1988 - 2009)

prices of hide in Kano between 1994 to 1996 (from ₩1200 to ₩1400 respectively) whereas the price was stable in Lagos at ₩1500. The price increased from ₩1500 to ₩1950 in Kano between 1997 and 2003 while it rose from ₦1700 to ₩2000 in Lagos. Between 2004 to 2009, there were similar trends in the price of hides in both locations, though the prices in Kano market were slightly lower than that of Lagos markets in all cases, which may be attributed to cost of transportation of hides from Kano to Lagos before processed to 'pomo'. However, the continuous increase in the prices of hides in both locations over the years may be attributed to inflation and increase in the demand for hides by both the tanners and 'pomo' marketers.

4.2 Unit Root Test Result

The result of the unit root test for prices of hides and 'pomo' in Kano and Lagos markets respectively is presented in Tables 1 and 2. This is a test for stationarity in the two markets. The stationarity test was carried out using Augmented Dickey –Fuller (ADF) test.

In both Kano and Lagos locations, various levels of the Augmented Dickey –Fuller (ADF) test were conducted as follows: no intercept, with intercept, with trend and intercept, with no difference and at first difference. At all these levels of tests, the ADF statistical test values were less than the critical value at 1% and 5% level of probability, indicating that the series were not stationary and need to be differenced. At first difference with intercept, intercept and trend, The ADF statistical values were less than the critical values in absolute terms at 1% level of probability but greater than the critical values in absolute terms at 5% level of probability in Kano. In Lagos, the ADF value was greater than the critical value at both 1% and 5% levels at first difference. Therefore, the null hypothesis of order 1 was accepted while the alternative was rejected. This implies that the series in the two markets must be differenced once in order to achieve stationarity.

4.3 Cointegration Test Result

Table 3 shows the cointegration results for Kano and Lagos markets. The co-integration test was conducted using Johansen test [22]. The null hypothesis tested was that the two series are cointegrated of order 0, that is, the prices of hides and 'pomo' in the two markets (Kano and Lagos respectively) are not co-integrated. The alternative hypothesis was that the series are cointegrated of order 1, that is, there is cointegration of the series in the two markets.

The result of the Johansen test showed that the Johansen trace statistic (9.459344) was less than the critical value at both 1% and 5% (20.04 and 15.41) level of probability respectively. Since the trace statistic is less than the critical value of at 1% and 5% critical value, it is possible to accept the null hypothesis of no cointegrating vectors and reject the alternative of cointegrating vectors in the two markets. Also, the maximum Eigen statistic (9.311) was less than the critical value at 1% and 5% (18.63 and 14.07) critical value. We can accept the null hypothesis of no co-integrating vectors in the two markets.

For the adjustment coefficient in Kano (0.649431), when the standard error (0.55780) is multiplied by 2, it is greater than the adjustment coefficient. For Lagos, the adjustment coefficient

is 1.643387, when the standard error (0.74892) is multiplied by two, it is less than the adjustment coefficient. These are also an indication of no cointegration in the two markets.

| Location | Levels | No difference* | 1 st difference* | ADF value |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| KANO | No intercept | | | |
| | | -3.8067*** | | -0.0248 |
| | | -3.0199** | | |
| | | `- 2.6502* | | |
| | With intercept | | | |
| | | -3.7856*** | | -0.3742 |
| | | -3.0114** | | |
| | | -2.6457* | | |
| | With trend and intercept | | | |
| | | -4.4691*** | | -2.1524 |
| | | -3.6454** | | |
| | | -3.2602* | | |
| | With intercept | | | |
| | | | -3.8304*** | -3.0842 |
| | | | -3.0294** | |
| | | | -2.6552* | |
| | With trend and intercept | | | |
| | | | -4.5000*** | -4.0776 |
| | | | -3.6591** | |
| | | | -3.2677* | |
| *** - Significanat | at 19/ loval of probability:** - Sign | ificant at 5% loval of p | robability *- Signifiaa | nt at 10% laval of |

Table 1. Unit root test result of prices of hides in Kano markets

* = Significangt at 1% level of probability;** = Significant at 5% level of probability *= Significant at 10% level of probability

Table 2. Unit root test result of prices of 'pomo' in Lagos market

| Location | Levels | No | 1 st | ADF value |
|----------|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | difference* | difference* | |
| LAGOS | No intercept | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | -3.7856*** | | -0.0820 |
| | | -3.0114** | | |
| | | -2.6502* | | |
| | With intercept | | | |
| | · | - 4.4691*** | | -2.5225 |
| | | -3.6454** | | |
| | | -3.2602* | | |
| | With trend and intercept | | | |
| | | -4.5000*** | | -2.6877 |
| | | -3.6591** | | |
| | | -3 2677* | | |
| | With intercept | 0.2011 | | |
| | | | -3 8304*** | -4 1938 |
| | | | -3 0294** | 1.1000 |
| | | | -2 6552* | |
| | With trend and intercent | | 2.0002 | |
| | with the first and intercept | | -4 5348*** | -3 8321 |
| | | | -3 6746** | 0.0021 |
| | | | -3.07+0 | |
| *** 0: | 40/ 11-5 | | -3.2102 | |

*** = Significangt at 1% level of probability;** = Significant at 5% level of probability;*= Significant at 10% level of probability

| Hypothesized no of cointegration (s) | Eigen value | Trace statistics | 5% critical value | 1% critical value | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| None | 0.372215 | 9.459344 | 15.41 | 20.04 | | |
| At most 1 | 0.007383 | 0.148204 | 3.76 | 6.55 | | |
| Hypothesized No of cointegration(s) | Eigen value | Max-eigen statistics | 5% critical value | 1% critical value | | |
| None | 0.372215 | 9.311140 | 14.07 | 18.63 | | |
| At most 1 | 0.007383 | 0.148204 | 3.76 | 6.65 | | |
| Adjustment coefficients (standard error in parenthesis) | | | | | | |
| D(Kano) | 0.649431(0.55780) | | | | | |
| D(Lagos) | 1.643387(0.74892) | | | | | |

Table 3. Johansen co-integration test result

However, the reason for no co-integration in the prices of hides in the two locations may be attributed to the fact that there is high competition between the '*pomo*' marketers and the tanners in Nigeria. Thus, there was no cointegrating vector between the price of hide in Kano and that of '*pomo*' in Lagos.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study found that the series in the two markets were non stationary and need to be differenced once before stationarity is achieved. Also, Johansen cointgration result revealed that the prices of hides and '*pomo*' in Kano and Lagos respectively were not cointegrated, eventhough, there was similar trend in prices in the two markets. This implies that there was no long run relationship between the prices of hides in Kano and that of '*pomo*' in Lagos The reason for the prices in the two locations having similar trends may be due to exogenous factors like inflation and festive periods like Sallah and Christmas.

Based on the findings, the following recommendation is made. There should be government's commitment to policies that can reduce inflation rate so that prevailing market prices of hides and skins can be stable in different market locations.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. United Nations Devalopment Programmes (UNDP) Leather Production and export in Nigeria. Product Indusry Panel; 2005.

- 2. Chemonics International Inc Subsector Assessment of the Nigerian Hides and Skins Industry, A paper prepared for The United States Agency for International Development (USAID)/Nigeria Agricultural Development Assistance in Nigeria (ADAN); 2002.
- Ihuoma AA, Okezie NO, Okonkwo EM, Zubair Y. Current status of the Nigerian leather industry, part 2: Potential hide and skin production. J. Soc. Leather Tech. and Chem. 2001;85(5):170-182
- Ali A, Rahman SA. Cointegration and market integration: An application to selected sorghum and maize markets in Nigeria, in Olojede AO, Okoye BC, Ekwe KC, Chukwu GO, Nwachukwu IN, Alawode O. Editors Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Agricultural Society of Nigeria (ASN); 2009, held in University of Abuja; 2009.
- 5. Trotter BW. Applying price analysing to marketing systems: Methods and examples from the indonesian rice market. Marketing Series Natural Resources Institute, Chatham, U.K. 1992;3.
- Granger CWJ. Some properties of time series data and their use in econometric model specification. Journal of Econometrics; 1981.
- Lence S, Falk B. Cointegration, market integration and market efficiency. J. Int'l Money Fin. 2005;24:873-890.
- Rahman SA. Techniques for analyzing market integration in the agricultural sector. Paper presented at the Nigeria Association of Agricultural Economist (NAAE) Annual conference. Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria; 2004.
- Dickey DA, Fuller WA. Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. Journal of American Statistical Association. 1979;74:427-431.

- 10. Dickey DA, Fuller WA. The likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root. Econometrica. 1981;49:1057–1072.
- 11. Evans GBA, Savin NE. Testing for unit root: 1, Econometrica. 1981;49:753-779.
- Granger CWJ, Weiss AA. Time series analysis of error – Correcting models, in studies in econometrics, time series, and multivariate statistics, New York: Academic Press. 1983;255- 278.
- 13. Jaleta M, Gebermadhin Β. Price cointegration analysis of food crop markets: The case of wheat and Teff Commodities in Northern Ethiopia, Contributed Paper Prepared for Presentation International at the Association of Agricultural Economists Conference, Beijing, China; 2009.
- Akande SO, Akpokodje G. The Nigerian rice economy in a competitive world: Constraints, opportunities and strategic choices, rice prices and market integration in selected areas in nigeria, agriculture and rural development department, Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER), Ibadan; 2003.
- Oyakhilomen O, Omadachi UO, Zibah RG. Cocoa production – agricultural credit guarantee scheme fund Nexus in Nigeria: A cointegration approach. Russian J. Ag. Socioecon. Sci. 2012;9(9):28–32.

- Aminu A. Marketing of tomato and onion in Jigawa State: A spatial and seasonal price analysis, Ph. D. Dissertation, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria; 2004.
- Mukhtar T, Javed MT. Market integration in maize markets in Pakistan. Regional and Sectoral Economic Studies. 2008;8(2):85-96.
- Chirwa WE. Food marketing reforms and integration of maize and rice markets in Malawi. Working Papers No. WC/05/99; 1999.
- 19. Engle RF, Granger CWJ. Cointegration and error correction: Representation, estimation and testing. Econometrica. 1987;55(2):251–276.
- 20. Nigerian Tanners Council, List of tanners and their addresses, Tanners council registered in Nigeria, 31/32 Niger Street, Kano; 2009.
- Asche F, Bremnes H, Wessells CR. Product aggregation, market integration, and relationships between prices: An application to world salmon markets. Amer. J. Ag. Econs; 1999;81:568-581.
- 22. Johansen S. Estimation and hypothesis test of cointegration vectors in Gaussian vector autoregressive models, Econometrica. 1991;59(6):1551-1580.

© 2015 Yusuf and Olukosi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=915&id=2&aid=7958