

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology



38(6): 1-5, 2019; Article no.CJAST.52731 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

Climate Resilient Water Management Practices for in situ Moisture Conservation

Kiran Kumari^{1*}, Anjani Kumar¹, Sanjay Pandey¹ and Nityanand¹

¹Department of Extension Education, Birsa Agricultural University, Ranchi-6, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2019/v38i630405 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. João Miguel Dias, Associate Professor, Habilitation in Department of Physics, CESAM, University of Aveiro, Portugal. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Zuzana Vranayova, Technical University of Kosice, Slovakia. (2) Komlan Koudahe, Togo. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52731</u>

Original Research Article

Received 25 September 2019 Accepted 30 November 2019 Published 11 December 2019

ABSTRACT

Climate change has an impact on Indian agriculture in various direct and indirect ways besides affecting the lives and livelihood of millions of Indians. Efficient management of natural resources is key for enhancing the adaptive capacity of the system and contributes towards the resilience of communities. Successful crop production in frequent drought and flood-affected regions depends on how effectively we conserve the deficit rainfall and manage the excess rainfall and soils to retain the moisture for longer periods for successful arable crop production. The present study was conducted with the objective of evaluation of the performance of different in situ Water conservation measures with respect to the climatic variability prevailed in the village. Demonstrations of appropriate practices and technologies recommended by the National Agricultural Research System (NARS) are being taken up in a farmer participatory mode so that the communities become familiar with these technologies and help in their adoption resulting in enhancing their adaptive capacity and coping ability against climatic change and variability. It was observed that the use of forest leaves and paddy straw was found very profitable to conserve soil moisture in situ with BCR Rs. 7.16 followed by the use of naturally occurred leaves in fruit plants with BCR Rs. 6.22 and use of plastics in vegetables with BCR 4.41. Respondents opined that the use of natural mulch was very costeffective as well as it is compatible with the existing farming system and very simple in its application. Wheat with cultivation through ZTD showed a maximum yield of 46q/ha. Zero tillage technology showed very promising results in pulse and oilseed cultivation.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: kiran28ext@gmail.com;

^{*}Note: This paper was presented in International Conference on Crop Residue Management (ICCRM-2019), October 14-15, 2019, Patna, Organised by Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur - 813210 (Bihar), India.

Keywords: In situ moisture conservation; climate change; water management practices.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is a large country with 15 agro-climatic zones, diverse seasons, crops and farming systems. For a majority of the people in India, agriculture is the main source of livelihood. Agriculture is also most vulnerable to climate change because it is inherently sensitive to climate variability. Climate change will have an impact on Indian agriculture in various direct and indirect ways besides affecting the lives and livelihood of millions of Indians [1,2]. Agriculture and allied activities, such as livestock and fisheries, constitute an important component of India's Gross Domestic Product (GDP) contributing nearly 25 per cent of the GDP. Bihar and Jharkhand characterized by rich natural resources but poor productivity of agriculture and allied sectors. The region has favourable climate and an abundant supply of water, however, frequent occurrence of flood and drought adversely affect the agricultural productivity and livelihood security. The small and scattered land holdings, unavailability of quality seeds and planting materials, imbalanced use of fertilizers, deterioration of soil health, lack of stress-tolerant crop varieties, poor extension mechanism and marketing of the agricultural products are some of the major bottlenecks to improve upon the productivity and thereby profitability of the production systems [3].

Efficient management of natural resources is key for enhancing the adaptive capacity of the system and contributes towards the resilience of communities. Successful crop production in frequently drought and flood-affected regions depends on how effectively we conserve the deficit rainfall and manage the excess rainfall and soils to retain the moisture for longer periods for successful arable crop production [4,5]. In the context of climate change and variability, farmers need to adapt quickly to enhance their resilience to increasing threats of climatic variability such as droughts, floods and other extreme climatic events. Participatory on-farm demonstration of site-specific technologies will go a long way in enabling farmers cope with current climate variability. Such an approach can ensure adaptation gains and immediate benefits to farmers [6].

With the objective to enhance the resilience of Indian agriculture to climatic variability and climate change through development and application of improved production and risk management technologies, NICRA been functioning in thirteen district and in 26 villages In the zone IV and are being implemented by KVK. practices Proven resilient of resource management, crop production and livestock and fisheries were demonstrated to enhance resilience against climatic vulnerabilities such as droughts, floods, and heat wave. The present study was conducted with the objective of evaluation of the performance of different Water conservation In situ measures concerning the climatic variability prevailed in the village.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Demonstrations of appropriate practices and technologies recommended by the National Agricultural Research System (NARS) are being taken up in a farmer participatory mode so that the communities become familiar with these technologies and help in their adoption resulting in enhancing their adaptive capacity and coping ability against climatic change and variability. Location-specific in-situ moisture conservation measures are important concern in managing water sustainably over long period. Harvesting of excess water and efficient use of harvested water, efficient irrigation methods, supplemental irrigation, enhancing cropping intensity with the harvested water, recharge of wells, improved drainage in the flood and cyclone-affected soil health management, regions. green manuring, soil test-based nutrient application are the important approaches given emphasis and proven technologies on these aspects are being demonstrated.

The village was selected based on the vulnerability of agriculture to climatic variability. The highly vulnerable village may get priority in selection. Using secondary/ published data, the village which was relatively more vulnerable to climatic variability like prolonged drought, Dryspells, extreme rainfall events, hailstorms, extreme temperatures, cold and heat waves, frost, flood, seawater inundation, etc was selected. The climatic vulnerability of the village (frequency and intensity of droughts, floods, heatwave, cold wave, etc) represents that of the district. It was stressed that the selected village must represent the dominant farming system, climatic vulnerabilities and adverse weather situations of the selected district.

Kumari et al.; CJAST, 38(6): 1-5, 2019; Article no.CJAST.52731

The study was carried out in five purposively selected villages of Jharkhand and Bihar where intervention on in situ water conservation was carried out under Natural Resource Management on NICRA.

The data were collected by personally interviewing the respondents through a structured scheduled. Apart from the use of schedule, detailed information was collected through informal discussion with the respondents and by critically scrutinizing the practices followed for in situ water conservation measure. Use of PRA tool, field observation and non-participant observation techniques were thoroughly used. Field observation was done periodically. "Focused Group discussion" of PRA was also followed to gather data on the opinion of respondent's towards the demonstrated technology.

After collection, the data were systematically arranged and tabulated for analysis and interpretation. For economic analysis, economic evaluation data of crops were used. The gross cost of cultivation was calculated based on different operations performed and materials used for raising the crops. The statistical techniques used for the analysis of data under the study included mean yield, net return, B: C, increase in family income in Rs. /year

3. RESULTS

The performance of different in-situ moisture conservation practices is presented in the following table. It was observed that use of forest leaves and paddy straw was found very profitable to conserve soil moisture in situ with BCR Rs. 7.16 followed by use of naturally occurred leaves in fruit plants with BCR Rs. 6.22 and use of plastics in vegetables with BCR 4.41. Respondents opined that use of natural mulch was very cost-effective as well as it is compatible to existing farming system and very simple in its application.

4. CONSERVATION TILLAGE

Sowing of rabi crops depends on soil moisture status of soil and duration of preceding crop. In case of wheat this involves 2 to 3 or even more tillage operations for obtaining appropriate tilth before planting of wheat. In addition to the costs incurred and energy required, this causes delay in the planting of wheat which often results in coincidence of vulnerable stage with hightemperature stress during February/ March. This often leads to a reduction in grain yield and loss to the farmer. Zero till technology offers a viable and practical solution by avoiding repeated tillage for land preparation and sowing, reducing cost of cultivation and also permits planting early by 10-15 days. Advancement in sowing date is an adaptation to avoid terminal heat stress. Zero tillage refers to direct drilling of wheat in unploughed paddy fields immediately after rice harvest using zero-till. Conservation tillage in wheat. paddy, lentil, pea and chickpea demonstrated in 15 NICRA adopted villages in an area of 243 ha covering 458 farmers. The results of the ZTD in various crops are presented in below table. Wheat with cultivation through ZTD showed a maximum yield of 46q/ha. Zero tillage technology showed very promising results in pulse and oilseed cultivation.



Fig. 1. Use of plastic mulch and natural mulch

| Technology demonstrated | | Area | Yield | Economics of demonstration (Rs/ha) | | |
|--|---------|------|--------|------------------------------------|------------|------|
| | farmers | (ha) | (q/ha) | Gross cost | Net return | BCR |
| Green Manuring for Soil resilience and fertility management through Green Gram | 800 | 220 | 7.5 | 18102 | 17898 | 1.99 |
| In-situ moisture conservation in with paddy straw | 240 | 70 | 38 | 29064 | 20436 | 1.70 |
| Plastic Mulching in summer Vegetables | 42 | 10 | 280 | 45329 | 154671 | 4.41 |
| Plastic Mulching in kharif Vegetables | 20 | 12 | 280 | 43562 | 134980 | 4.10 |
| Application of natural mulch in fruit crops | 190 | 260 | 107 | 25689 | 134186 | 6.22 |
| Wind breaks for saving bitter gourd from heat stroke | 110 | 72 | 276 | 43391 | 120709 | 3.78 |
| Hole planting of pointed gourd for saving soil moisture | 22 | 8 | 278 | 43074 | 134276 | 4.12 |
| Paddy after brown manuring | 85 | 21 | 38 | 29164 | 17636 | 1.60 |
| Leaf mulching in ginger | 27 | 5 | 534.0 | 65000 | 278000 | 4.12 |
| Use of paddy straw, forest leaves in elephant foot yam for mulching | 25 | 5 | 24 1.5 | 75000 | 3,94,200 | 7.16 |
| Total | 800 | 220 | | | | |

Table 1. Performances of demonstration of in-situ moisture conservation technologies

Table 2. Performance of conservation tillage on various crops

| Technology demonstrated | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | Output (q/ha) | Economics of demonstration (Rs./ha) | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | | Gross cost | Net return | BCR | |
| Sowing of paddy with ZTD machine | 180 | 124 | 38 | 25631 | 27569 | 2.07 | |
| Sowing of wheat with ZTD machine | 140 | 65 | 46 | 29658 | 25670 | 1.86 | |
| Dry seeding of Rice | 70 | 22 | 38 | 26354 | 25180 | 1.95 | |
| Furrow irrigated Raised Bed Technique | 68 | 32 | 37 | 28564 | 28192 | 1.98 | |
| Total | 458 | 243 | | | | | |





Fig. 2. Zero tillage in wheat

5. CONCLUSION

This study summarizes the climate change mitigation and adaptation work undertaken by National Initiative on Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) to improve the water availability for agriculture production and recharging of aguifers. The successful water management interventions taken up by NICRA were use of natural mulches, use of plastic much in vegetables, brown manuring zero tillage machine in wheat etc. in the backdrop of climate challenges for improving soil moisture, augmentation and management of farm level resources for improving livelihoods of small farmers. Implementation of these in situ water management practices has resulted in increasing the net cultivated area, profitability of crops and improvement in the soil moisture levels.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Thornton PK, Ericksen PJ, Herrero M, Challinor AJ. Climate variability and vulnerability to climate change: A review. Global Change Biology. 2014;20(11):3313-3328.

- Rao CS, Rejani R, Kumar P. Climate resilient water management practices for improving water use efficiency and sustaining crop productivity. National Workshop Clima Adapt Project, Climate Change & Water: Improving Wuewalamtari; 2014.
- Gregory PJ, Ingram JS, Brklacich M. Climate change and food security. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2005; 360(1463):2139-2148.
- 4. Abdul-Razak M, Kruse S. The adaptive capacity of smallholder farmers to climate change in the Northern Region of Ghana. Climate Risk Management. 2017;17:104-122.
- Michler JD, Baylis K, Arends-Kuenning M, Mazvimavi K. Conservation agriculture and climate resilience. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management. 2019;93:148-169.
- Prasad YG, Maheswari M, Dixit S, Srinivasarao C, Sikka AK, Venkateswarlu B, Singh AK. Smart practices & technologies for climate resilient agriculture; 2014.

© 2019 Kumari et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/52731