**TILL THE REAL** 

# **Mathematical Model on Optimal Combination of Vaccination and Antiviral Therapy to Curb Influenza in Kenya**

**Derrick M. Nzioki**<sup>1</sup> *∗* **and James K. Gatoto**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Kenyatta University, P.O. Box 43844 Nairobi, Kenya.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author JKG designed the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Both authors managed literature searches. Author DMN performed the Mathematical analysis of the study and the simulations. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2020/v35i530287 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. M. Subhas Abel, Gulbarga University, India. *Reviewers:* (1) Rashid Jan, Xian Jiaotong University, China. (2) Samad Noeiaghdam, Irkutsk National Research Technical University & South Ural State University, Russia. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59898

*Original Research Article Published: 12 August 2020*

*[Received: 27 May 2020](http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59898) Accepted: 03 August 2020*

### **Abstract**

Human influenza is a contagious disease which, if proper precautions are not taken to control the disease, can lead to massive mortality rates and high costs will be incurred to control the disease in case of an outbreak. As a result, we investigate how the cost of implementing both vaccination and antiviral therapy can be minimized and at the same time minimize the number of infected individuals. We have developed a system of ordinary differential equations from our formulated SVIR model and used vaccination and antiviral therapy to study influenza dynamics. We have the basic reproductive number determined using the next generation matrix. The equilibria and stability of the model has also been determined and analyzed. We have used the maximization theory of Pontryagin to define the optimal control rates and then used MATLAB program to do the numerical simulations. The numerical simulations done indicate that an ideal combination of vaccination and antiviral therapy decreases the number of infected individuals which in turn reduces the cost of applying the two control measures.

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: derick.mutiso@gmail.com;*

*Keywords: Influenza; antiviral therapy; vaccination; reproduction number; stability; optimal control; numerical simulation.*

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53C25, 83C05, 57N16.

## **1 Introduction**

Influenza is an infectious respirational disease initiated by the RNA influenza virus of the Orthomyxoviridae family, Earn [1] and lamb [2]. The infected person has symptoms such as fever, sore throat, muscle pain, severe headache, coughing, nausea and fatigue. Influenza at times is associated with common cold since both have similar symptoms only that influenza causes high mortality and morbidity. Various strains of influenza virus exist, that is,influenza A,B,C and D.

According to WHO, infl[ue](#page-12-0)nza A and [B v](#page-12-1)iruses are of epidemiological interest to humans because of their different strains. In Kenya, distinct influenza outbreaks occur in a year and there pandemic existence is of varying extends. This is because of the changing antigenic properties of the virus whose spread depends on the ability of transmission of the virus and exposure of the population to the virus. The most recent outbreak of influenza in Kenya was reported in June 2009 and also in 2012. In 2009, the first case was reported at Kisumu in June when a British student tested positive of influenza A(H1NI) and by September many cases of H1N1 had been reported in Kenya, Oria [3]. In 2012, cases of H1N1 influenza pandemic were confirmed to have declined. Out of 745 samples collected from January to May 2012, 92 of the samples tested positive of influenza virus: with 80 of the samples having influenza A and the rest had influenza B. Also, of these 80 samples, 91% were of H3N2 seasonal influenza and 9% were of H1N1, Achilla [4]. Recent studies have still shown t[ha](#page-12-2)t seasonal influenza H3N2 circulates in Kenya even to date.

Outbreak of influenza has severe economic impacts like decreasing work force productivity, loss of life and strained health services. With the current population growth and urbanization, influenza is expected to become increasingly wide spread (Gasparani [\[5](#page-12-3)] and Molinari [6]) and will need to be controlled. In our case, the best prevention technique is a combination of vaccination and antiviral therapy. However, the imposition of these two strategies is very costly and, therefore, the need for an ideal combination of these two methods which is cost-effective and will eliminate the disease within a limited time frame. These has prompted us, just like other mathematicians, to take a step in increasing the understanding of the disease dynamics.M[at](#page-12-4)hematical mod[el](#page-12-5)ing has proved to be a good tool for understanding the dynamics of transmission and disease management by assessing their effectiveness and impact on disease prevention and control.

Optimal control theory is an area of mathematics, derived from variations calculus, which can be used extensively to control the spread of infectious diseases by assisting scientists make choices involving complicated biological problems, Lenhart [7] . The concept of optimal control is used in most cases to control the spread of most diseases that can be controlled either through vaccination or therapy eg.([8], [9], [10], [11], [12]). Various methods can be used to calculate optimal control, but in our case we will use the maximum principle of Pontryagin's which allows the computation of optimal control for a system of ordinary differential equations with a given limitation. This technique will provide great insight by providing the [b](#page-12-6)est way to reduce the burden of disease. For instance, to get the bes[t va](#page-12-9)c[cina](#page-12-10)ti[on](#page-12-11) schedule that balances the vaccination cost and cost of disease burden, Herber[t](#page-12-7) [1[3\].](#page-12-8)

Our work is an extension of works done by Tchuenche [14], Muhammed [15], Saiful [16] and recent work by Joko and Titik [17].

The rest of the work is organized as follows : in section 2, we present and describe the influenza model. In sectio[n](#page-13-0) 3, we discuss the model analysis, which involves examining the equilibrium and stability states of our model, and finally identifying and analyzing the optimal control problem using the Pontryagin Maximization Principle. Section 4 is the numerical simulation of our optimized problem, and in section 5 we are engaged in discussions and conclusions.

## **2 Description and Formulation of the Model**

Kermack and Mckendrick [18] in the 20th century developed the first generic model describing epidemic influenza. They simulated influenza epidemic using a Susceptible-Infectious- Recovered (SIR). We find in this analysis a generalized model of SVIR with a bilinear incidence and a robust total population.

In our model, recruitment i[nto](#page-13-1) susceptible class (S) is by birth *b* from which the class can be exited by natural death *δ*, vaccination *ω* into the vaccination compartment *V* or through influenza infection *β* into the infected compartment *I*. Those in the vaccination compartment may lose immunity at the rate of  $(1 - \sigma)\beta$  into the infected compartment while those who do not lose immunity move to the recovered compartment *R* or even exit the vaccination compartment by natural death. People in the infected compartment are treated and recover at a rate  $\gamma$  and move to the recovered class while others in the compartment die due to illness  $\mu$  or natural death  $\delta$ . Since there is no re-infection upon successful treatment and vaccination, those in the recovered class can only exit by natural death *δ*.

Based on the above description we have the following assumptions and flow chart;

#### **Assumptions:**

- i. Recruitment into the vulnerable class is only by childbirth.
- ii. Recovered individuals receive permanent immunity from reinfection.
- iii. A flexible total population.
- iv. Antiviral therapy is administered to all infected individuals.
- v. No drug intolerant and resilient influenza strains.

From Fig. 1, we obtain the following differential equations of the model with  $S(0) \geq 0$ ,  $V(0) \geq 0$ ,  $I(0) \geq 0$  and  $R(0) \geq 0$ , non-negative initial conditions.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = b - \beta SI - (\delta + \omega)S,
$$
  

$$
\frac{dV}{dt} = \omega S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV,
$$
  

$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\mu + \gamma + \delta)I,
$$
  

$$
\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I + rV - \delta R.
$$
  
(2.1)

Letting N (t) denote the total population such that:

$$
N(t) = S(t) + V(t) + I(t) + R(t),
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>it follows that:

$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{dV}{dt} + \frac{dI}{dt} + \frac{dR}{dt},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dN}{dt} = b - \delta N - \mu I.
$$
\n(2.2)

136



**Fig. 1. Flow chart**

At time  $t = 0$ , the total population  $N(t)$  is 1 such that  $1 = S(0) + V(0) + I(0) + R(0)$ .

# **3 Model Analysis**

**Lemma 1.** All solutions  $(S(t), V(t), I(t), R(t))$  of equation (2.2) are bounded.

*Proof.* We derive, from the total human population in equation (2.2), that:  $\frac{dN}{dt} = b - \delta N(t) - \mu I \leq b - \delta N(t).$ 

Integrating the above equation and taking the suprimum limit as  $t \to \infty$  to get lim  $\sup_{t\to\infty} N(t) \leq \frac{b}{\mu}$ , hence all system solutions of (2.2) are [bou](#page-2-0)nded. The area that is feasible to the total population becomes  $\Omega = \{(S, V, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+; S + V + I + R \leq \frac{b}{\mu}, S(t) \geq 0, V(t) \geq 0, I(t) \geq 0\}$  $\Omega = \{(S, V, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+; S + V + I + R \leq \frac{b}{\mu}, S(t) \geq 0, V(t) \geq 0, I(t) \geq 0\}$  $\Omega = \{(S, V, I, R) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+; S + V + I + R \leq \frac{b}{\mu}, S(t) \geq 0, V(t) \geq 0, I(t) \geq 0\}$  $0, R(t) \geq 0$ . Therefore the region  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4_+$  is positively invariant for our model with non negative initial conditions in  $\mathbb{R}^4_+$ .  $\Box$ 

### **3.1 Disease-free Equilibrium(DFE) point**

In the absence of disease, the disease free equilibrium point denoted by  $E^0$  is the model's stable state solution.

Letting the left hand side of equations (2.1) be equal to zero and that  $S = S^0$ ,  $V = V^0$ ,  $I = I^0$  and  $R = R^0$ , we get:

$$
0 = b - (\delta + \omega)S,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = \omega S - \delta V - rV,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = I,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = rV - \delta R.
$$

Thus the disease free equilibrium  $E^0$  point of our model is:

$$
E^0 = \left(\frac{b}{\delta + \omega}, \frac{\omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}, 0, \frac{r\omega b}{\delta(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}\right)
$$

#### **3.2** The basic reproduction number  $(R_0)$

*R*<sup>0</sup> refers to the number of secondary infections produced by one single infectious organism in a fully infectious population. To evaluate  $R_0$ , we use the next generation matrix method, [19], such that  $\rho(F_0V_0^{-1})$  where  $F_0$  is the Jacobian of  $f_i$  at  $E^0$ . The pace at which new infections appear in compartment *i* is  $f_i$  and the Jacobian of  $v_i$ (the transfer rate of persons to and from the *i* container) at disease free equilibrium  $E^0$  is  $V_0$ .

 $\frac{d}{dx}$ 

Take  $x=(I,V)$ , from system equation(2.1) to have that:

$$
\frac{dE}{dt} = f_i - v_i
$$
  
where  $f_i = \begin{bmatrix} \beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $v_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \delta + \gamma \\ \omega S - (\delta + r)V \end{bmatrix}$   
 $F_0$  = jacobian of f at  $E^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta S^0 + (1 - \sigma)\beta V^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $V_0$  = jacobian of v at  $E^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu + \delta + \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \delta + r \end{bmatrix}$ .  
The next generation matrix of system of equations (2.1) becomes

The new generation matrix of system of equations 
$$
(1.1)
$$

$$
F_0 V_0^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\beta S^0 + (1-\sigma)\beta V^0}{\mu + \gamma + \delta} & 0\\ 0 & \delta + r \end{bmatrix}
$$

The  $R_0$  spectral radius of the  $F_0 V_0^{-1}$  matrix is give[n by](#page-2-1):

$$
R_0 = \rho \left( F_0 V_0^{-1} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\frac{\beta b}{\delta + \omega} + \frac{(1 - \sigma)\beta \omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}}{\mu + \delta + \gamma}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\beta b}{(\delta + \omega)(\mu + \delta + \gamma)} + \frac{(1 - \sigma)\beta \omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)(\mu + \delta + \gamma)}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{\beta b(\delta + r) + (1 - \sigma)\beta \omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\mu + \delta + \gamma)(\delta + r)}
$$

which is the required basic reproductive number  $R_0$  of system of equations (2.1)

#### **3.3 Endemic Equilibrium point**

This refers to the disease spreading point within the population. Let  $E^* = (S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*)$  $E^* = (S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*)$  $E^* = (S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*)$  be the endemic equilibrium point, where  $S^*, V^*, I^*, R^* > 0$ .

Consider

$$
0 = b - \beta S^* I^* - (\delta + \omega) S^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = \omega S^* - \delta V^* - (1 - \sigma) \beta V^* I^* - r V^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = \beta S^* I^* + (1 - \sigma) \beta V^* I^* - (\mu + \delta + \gamma) I^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
0 = \gamma I^* + r V^* - \delta R^*.
$$
\n(3.1)

We get,from the first equation of (3.1)

$$
S^* = \frac{b}{\beta I^* + (\delta + \omega)},
$$

from the second equation of (3.1)

$$
V^* = \frac{\omega b}{(\delta + (1 - \sigma)\beta I^* + r)(\beta I^* + \delta + \omega)}
$$

and from the fourth equation of (3.1)

.

$$
R^* = \frac{\gamma I^* + rV^*}{\delta}.
$$

Thus  $E^* = (S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*)$  of our system (3.1) becomes:

$$
E^*=\left(\frac{b}{\beta I^*+(\delta+\omega)},\frac{\omega b}{(\delta+(1-\sigma)\beta I^*+r)(\beta I^*+\delta+\omega)},I^*,\frac{\gamma I^*+rV^*}{\delta}\right)
$$

### **3.4 Local stability of the disease free Equilibrium point**

From system of equations (2.1) we can consider

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\frac{dS}{dt} &= b - \beta SI - (\delta + \omega)S, \\
\frac{dV}{dt} &= \omega S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I,\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(3.2)

since the fourth equation of system of equations (3.2) is independent of the rest. Now, we investigate local satability of DFE of system (3.2)

**Theorem 2.** At  $E^0$ , system of equations (3.2) is stable locally asymptotically and  $R_0 < 1$ .

*Proof.* The jacobian matrix of the system is:

$$
J = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta I - (\delta + \omega) & 0 & -\beta S & 0\\ \omega & -(\delta + r) - (1 - \sigma)\beta I & -(1 - \sigma)\beta V & 0\\ \beta I & (1 - \sigma)\beta I & \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V - (\mu + \delta + \gamma) & 0\\ 0 & r & \gamma & -\delta \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
At DFE
$$
E^0 = \left(\frac{b}{\delta + \omega}, \frac{\omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}, 0, \frac{r\omega b}{\delta(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}\right)
$$

the jacobian of our matrix at *E* <sup>0</sup> becomes

$$
J_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -(\delta + \omega) & 0 & -\beta S & 0\\ \omega & -(\delta + r) & -(1 - \sigma)\beta V & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V - (\mu + \delta + \gamma) & 0\\ 0 & r & \gamma & -\delta \end{bmatrix}
$$

By using the relation  $|J_0 - \lambda I| = 0$  we get the eigen values of our matrix as:  $\lambda_1 = -(\delta + \omega),$  $\lambda_2 = -\delta$ ,

139

 $\lambda_3 = -(\delta + r)$ ,  $\lambda_4 = -(\mu + \delta + \gamma) + \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V$  $= -(\mu + \delta + \gamma) + \frac{\beta b}{\delta + \omega} + \frac{(1-\sigma)\beta \omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)}.$ 

since for positive parameter  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  are negative, the only condition for stability of the DFE is  $\lambda_4 < 0$ . (1*−σ*)*βωb*

i.e 
$$
-(\mu + \delta + \gamma) + \frac{\beta b}{\delta + \omega} + \frac{(1-\sigma)\beta\omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)} < 0
$$
  
 $\frac{\beta b}{\delta + \omega} + \frac{(1-\sigma)\beta\omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)} < (\mu + \delta + \gamma)$   
 $\frac{\beta b(\delta + r) + (1-\sigma)\beta\omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\mu + \delta + \gamma)(\delta + r)} < 1$ 

which is the vaccine reproductive number *R*<sup>0</sup> and that DFE locally asymptotically stable iff *R*<sup>0</sup> *<* 1.  $\Box$ 

#### **3.5 Global stability of the disease free Equilibrium point**

**Lemma 3.** *The model's disease-free equilibrium is asymptotically stable globally if*  $R_0 < 1$ *.* 

*Proof.* We construct a Lyapunov [20] function:

$$
L = U_1(S - S^0) + U_2(V - V^0) + U_3I
$$
\n(3.3)

where  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  are positive cons[tan](#page-13-2)ts.

Differentiating equation (3.3) with respect to time *t*, we get:

$$
L' = U_1(b - \beta SI - (\delta + \omega)S) + U_2(\omega S - \delta V - (i - \sigma)\beta VI - rV) + U_3(\beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\delta + \mu + \gamma)I).
$$

Rearranging we get:

$$
L' = b + \beta SI(U_3 - U_1) - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI(U_2 - U_3) - \delta U_1S - \omega S(U_1 - U_2) - \delta U_2V - rVU_2 - \delta U_3I - \mu U_3I - \gamma U_3I.
$$

We choose the constants  $U_1 = U_2 = U_3 = 1$ , and the above equation reduces to:

 $L' = b - \delta(S + V + I) - rV - \gamma I < 0$ 

Thus the device equation disease-free equilibrium point (2.1) is globally asymptotically stable if  $R_0 < 1$ .  $\Box$ 

#### **3.6 Local stability of the Endemic Equilibrium point**

**Theorem 4.** *The endemic equilibrium state of the system of equations (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if R*<sup>0</sup> *>* 1 *otherwise unstable.*

*Proof.* The jacobian matrix of the system is:

$$
J = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta I - (\delta + \omega) & 0 & -\beta S & 0\\ \omega & -(\delta + r) - (1 - \sigma)\beta I & -(1 - \sigma)\beta V & 0\\ \beta I & (1 - \sigma)\beta I & \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V - (\mu + \delta + \gamma) & 0\\ 0 & r & \gamma & -\delta \end{bmatrix}
$$

Taking  $I = 0$ , the above matrix becomes:

$$
J_E = \begin{bmatrix} -(\delta + \omega) & 0 & -\beta S & 0\\ \omega & -(\delta + r) & -(1 - \sigma)\beta V & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V - (\mu + \delta + \gamma) & 0\\ 0 & r & \gamma & -\delta \end{bmatrix}
$$

By using the relation  $|J_E - \lambda I| = 0$  we get the result:

 $\lambda_1 = -(\delta + \omega) < 0,$  $\lambda_2 = -\delta < 0,$  $\lambda_3 = -(\delta + r) < 0,$  $\lambda_4 = -(\mu + \delta + \gamma) + \beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V$  $= -(\mu + \delta + \gamma) + \frac{\beta b}{\delta + \omega} + \frac{(1-\sigma)\beta \omega b}{(\delta + \omega)(\delta + r)} < 0.$ 

Thus, all the true  $\lambda$  values are negative and so our endemic equilibrium state is asymptotically stable locally.  $\Box$ 

#### **3.7 Global stability of the Endemic Equilibrium point**

**Theorem 5.** *If R*<sup>0</sup> *>* 1*,then an endemic equilibrium point exists, and it is stable globally.*

*Proof.* Consider the Lyapunov [20] function:

 $Y(SVI) = \frac{1}{2}(S - S^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(V - V^*)^2 + \in (I - I^* - I^*ln\frac{I}{I^*})$  where  $\in \geq 0$ 

The *Y* derivative along the System of equation solution curve  $(2.1)$  yields:

$$
Y' = (S - S^*)S' + (V - V^*)V' + \in (1 - \frac{I^*}{I})I'
$$
\n(3.4)

Substituting equations  $(2.1)$  for equation  $(3.4)$  we obtain this:

$$
Y' = (S - S^*)(b - \beta SI - (\delta + \omega)S) + (V - V^*)(\omega S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\in (1 - \frac{I^*}{I})(\beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I)
$$
(3.5)

We have at endemic equilibrium from system equation  $(3.1)$ :

$$
b = \beta S^* I^* + (\delta + \omega) S^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 - \sigma)\beta V^* I^* = \omega S^* - \delta V^* - rV^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta S^* I^* = -(1 - \sigma)\beta V^* I^* + (\mu + \gamma + \delta)I^*.
$$
\n(3.6)

From the second equation of system of equations (3.6), the first and the third equation of system of equations (3.6) becomes;

$$
b = (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I^* + \delta S^* + (\delta + r)V^*,
$$
  
(1 - \sigma)\beta V^\*I^\* = \omega S^\* - \delta V^\* - rV^\*,  
\beta S^\*I^\* = (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I^\* - \omega S^\* + (\delta + r)V^\*. (3.7)

Thus

$$
(b - \beta SI - (\delta + \omega)S) = (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I^* + \delta S^* + (\delta + r)V^* - (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I^* + \delta S^*
$$
  
+ (\delta + r)V^\* - (\delta + \omega)S  
= (\delta + \omega)S^\* - (\delta + \omega)S  
= (\delta + \omega)(S^\* - S) (3.8)

and

$$
\omega S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV = \omega S - (\delta + r)V - \omega S^* + (\delta + r)V^*
$$
  
= 
$$
\omega (S - S^*) - (\delta + r)(V - V^*)
$$
 (3.9)

and also

$$
\beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\mu + \delta + \gamma)I = (\mu + \gamma + \delta)I^* - \omega S^* + (\delta + r)V^* + \omega S^* - \delta V^*
$$
  

$$
-rV^* - (\mu + \gamma + \delta)I
$$
  

$$
= -(\mu + \gamma + \delta)(I - I^*)
$$
(3.10)

Substituting  $(3.8)$ ,  $(3.9)$  and  $(3.10)$  into equation  $(3.5)$ , We get:

$$
Y' = (S - S^*)(\delta + \omega) + (V - V^*)(S - S^*)\omega - (\delta + r)(V - V^*)^2
$$
  
-  $\in (I - I^*)(\mu + \delta + \omega)(I - I^*)$  (3.11)

Setting  $S \leq S^*$ ,  $V \leq V^*$  and  $I \leq I^*$ ,

thus

 $Y' \leq 0$ .

We note that  $Y' = 0$  holds. By Lassalle Invariant principle (Lassalle [21]), every solution to system of equations (2.1) approaches endemic equilibrium as  $t \to \infty$ , if  $R_0 > 1$  and therefore the endemic equilibrium is asymptotically stable globally. П

#### **3.8 Optimal control analysis**

In this section,we find the best control strategy that can reduce the epidemic level by formulating an optimal control problem for our influenza model. Consider:

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = b - \beta SI - (u_1 + \delta)S,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dV}{dt} = u_1 S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\mu + \delta + u_2)I,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dR}{dt} = u_2 I + rV - \delta R.
$$
\n(3.12)

The above system of equations (3.12) represents the dynamics of vaccination and treatment rate. The control variable  $u_1(t)$  measures the effectiveness of vaccination and  $u_2(t)$  is the effectiveness of treatment. The effectiveness of vaccination will depend on how early or late the vaccine will be administered.A finite time interval can be specified at which the vaccination and treatment will be performed.

We find the optimal values of  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  that maximize the efficiency of the vaccine and in turn minimize the cost of administration and the total number of infected population within Kenya. We note that the effectiveness of administering a vaccine is determined by how prompt it's administered relative to how suitable the season is for influenza outbreak. Additionally, the target group of choice could help cut costs, Bowman and Gumel [22]. After a positive diagnosis, therapy should begin immediately, since its crucial to ensure crucial to ensure the efficacy of therapy and the efficacy of drugs, ([23], [24], [25]).

Our control issue includes a model that minimizes the number of people with influenza and the cost of vaccines and controls of treatment,  $u_1(t)$  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  respectively, subject to the system of equations (3.12).

Our objective function becomes:

$$
J = \min_{u_1, u_2} \int_0^T [A_1S + A_2V + A_3I + B_1u_1^2 + B_2u_2^2]dt
$$
\n(3.13)

where *T* is the end time and the coefficients  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  are the per capita loss due to presence of susceptible, vaccinated and infected individuals at any given time.  $B_1$  *and*  $B_2$  are the cost factors incurred in vaccinating the susceptible and treating the infected and it covers the requisite funds for care, hospitalization and the hours lost due to illness and thus the optimum regulation of *u*<sup> $>$ </sup><sup>*u*</sup></sup> and *u*<sup> $>$ </sup> occurs using the findings of Lukes [26] such that:

$$
J(u_1^*(t), u_2^*(t)) = \min J(u_1(t), u_2(t)|u_1(t), u_2(t) \in \mathbb{U})
$$
\n(3.14)

where  $\mathbb{U} = \{u_1(t), u_2(t)|u_1(t), u_2(t)\}$  is measur[abl](#page-13-4)e on [0,1],  $0 \leq (u_1(t), u_2(t)) \leq 1, t \in [0, T]$  is the control set.

The necessary condition to satisfy an optimal question of control comes from the maximum theory of Pontryagin [27]. The theory transforms system equations (3.12) and (3.13) into a problem of minimizing point-wise, a Hamiltonian, H in relation to  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$ .

The Lagrangian of problem (3.13) is given by;  $L = A_1S + A_2V + A_3I + B_1u_1^2 + B_2u_2^2$  from where we use the governing dynamics (3.12) to formulate the Hamiltonian to achieve optimal conditions.

$$
H = A_1S + A_2V + A_3I + B_1u_1^2 + B_2u_2^2 + \lambda_S(b - \beta SI - (u_1 + \delta)S) + \lambda_V(u_1S - \delta V - (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - rV) + \lambda_I(\beta SI + (1 - \sigma)\beta VI - (\delta + \mu + u_2)I) + \lambda_R(u_2I + rV - \delta R)
$$
\n(3.15)

where  $\lambda_S, \lambda_V, \lambda_I$  and  $\lambda_R$  are the associated adjuncts for taking the necessary Hamiltonian (3.15) partial derivatives in respect of the corresponding state variable.

**Lemma 6.** Given the optimal control  $u_1^*$ ,  $u_2^*$  and the solutions  $S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*$  of the corresponding state system (3.12) which minimizes  $J(u_1, u_2)$  over U, there are adjunct variables  $\lambda_S$ ,  $\lambda_V$ ,  $\lambda_I$ ,  $\lambda_R$ , *satisfying*

$$
\frac{-d\lambda_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial i} \tag{3.16}
$$

with transversity condition

$$
\lambda_S(T) = \lambda_V(T) = \lambda_I(T) = \lambda_R(T) = 0 \tag{3.17}
$$

*Proof.* Using the Maximization Theory of Pontryagin [27], the differential equations regulating the adjunct factors are obtained by differentiating the Hamiltonian function and determining the optimum control pair such that;

$$
\frac{d\lambda_S}{dt} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial S}, \n\frac{d\lambda_V}{dt} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial V}, \n\frac{d\lambda_I}{dt} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial I}, \n\frac{d\lambda_R}{dt} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial R}.
$$
\n(3.18)

143

We can write the Adjoint System as;

$$
\frac{d\lambda_S}{dt} = -A_1 + \lambda_S(\beta I + u_1 + \delta) - \lambda_V(u_1) - \lambda_I(\beta I),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\lambda_V}{dt} = -A_2 + \lambda_V(\delta + (1 - \sigma)\beta I + r) - \lambda_I((1 - \sigma)\beta I) - r\lambda_R,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\lambda_I}{dt} = -A_3 + \lambda_S(\beta S) + \lambda_V(1 - \sigma)\beta V - \lambda_I(\beta S + (1 - \sigma)\beta V - \delta - \mu - u_2) - u_2\lambda_R,
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\lambda_R}{dt} = \delta\lambda_R.
$$
\n(3.19)

with transversality condition;

$$
\lambda_S(T) = 0 \qquad \lambda_V(T) = 0 \qquad \lambda_I(T) = 0 \qquad \lambda_R(T) = 0 \tag{3.20}
$$

By considering the optimality conditions  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_1} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_2} = 0$ . Solving *u*<sub>1</sub> and *u*<sub>2</sub> subject to the constrains we have:

$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_1} = 2B_1 u_1 - \lambda_S S + \lambda_V S = 2B_1 u_1 - S(\lambda_S - \lambda_V)
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial H}{\partial u_2} = 2B_2 u_2 - \lambda_I I + \lambda_R I = 2B_2 u_2 - I(\lambda_I - \lambda_R)
$$
\n(3.21)

We establish (Lenhart and Workman [7]) that the optimal concern is minimal at  $u_1^*$  and  $u_1^*$  where  $u_1^* = max \left\{ 0, min \left\{ \frac{S^*(\lambda_S^* - \lambda_V^*)}{2B_1} \right\}$  $\left\{ 1, 1 \right\}$  and  $u_2^* = max \left\{ 0, min \left\{ \frac{I^*(\lambda_I^* - \lambda_R^*)}{2B_2} \right\}$  $\}, 1$  where  $S^*, V^*, I^*, R^*$ are the the optimal values of  $S, V, I, R$  respectively and  $\lambda_S^*, \lambda_V^*, \lambda_I^*, \lambda_R^*$  are the solutions of system (3.19) with conditions (3.20).

### **4 Numerical Simulation**

Using MATLAB, we simulate the system of equations (3.12) to examine the role of optimal combination of vaccination and antiviral therapy in influenza control. Parameters used in our simulation are set out in Table 1 and the results displayed in Fig. 2.

Parameters	Values/day	Reference
	0.09	Assumed
	0.01	[28]
	0.006	Assumed
$\mu$	0.1	[28]
	$0.3$ or $0.6$ or $0.9$	Assumed
$\sigma$	$1 \text{ or } 0$	Assumed
r	0.1	[28]
$\omega$	0.3, 0.6, 0.9	Assumed

**Table 1. Parameter values of the model**

When optimal control is applied to our model, it is visible from Fig. (2a), without control, the susceptible population tends to increase but is controlled, the [su](#page-13-5)sceptible population increases slightly and remains constant. From Fig. 2(b), when control is applied to the population, the vaccinated population increases but, without control,there are no vaccinated individuals. From Fig.  $2(c)$ , the number of infected individuals is lower when there is control compared to when there is no control and from Fig. 2(d) the number of recovered individuals increases when control is applied to the population compared to when no control is imposed in the population. Generally, from Fig. 2 we observe that optimal controls due to vaccination and antiviral therapy are very efficient in controlling the disease.



**Fig. 2. The impact of optimal combination of vaccination and antiviral therapy on (a) susceptible individuals, (b) vaccinated individuals ,(c) infected individuals and (d) recovered individuals**

# **5 Discussion and Conclusion**

In this paper we have established an SVIR model with vaccination and antiviral therapy as control strategies to determine the optimal combination of implementing the two strategies. In our work we calculated the basic reproductive number *R*0, using the next generation matrix, which enabled us to investigate the disease-free stability and endemic equilibrium points from which we proved that the disease-free equilibrium point is asymptotically stable locally and globally if  $R_0 < 1$  and the endemic point is stable locally and globally if  $R_0 > 1$ .

We also formulated an optimal control problem and then characterized the controls, *u ∗* <sup>1</sup> and *u ∗* <sup>2</sup>, using Pontryagin maximization principle. We also modified our optimal problem to compare a system with control and a system without control. Numerical simulations have shown the impact of optimal control on the four compartments and noted that application of optimal control has significant impact in reducing the number of infected individuals as compared to a system without control. The control functions  $u_1^*$  and  $u_2^*$ , associated with vaccination and antiviral therapy respectively, played an important role in reducing the number of infected individuals making it an assured strategy of controlling influenza disease in Kenya.

Further research on this work should focus on the effects of drug-resistant strains on the model, the recurrence of the model disease, the effect of age-dependent infection on the model as well as the effect of saturated incidence on the model.

# **Acknowledgment**

We acknowledge the members of staff and postgraduate students (class of 2020) of Mathematics Department, Kenyatta University for their support during this study.

# **Competing Interest**

We declare that we do not have significant competing interests that may have affected the quality or delivery of the work mentioned in this manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[1] Earn DJD, Dushoff J, Levin SA. Ecology and evolution of the flu. Trends Ecol. 2002;17.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[2] Lamb RA. Genes and proteins of the influenza virus . in: Krug RM, Fraenkel-Conrat, H, Wagner RR (Eds.), In the Influenza Viruses, Plenum, New York; 1989.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[3] Oria PA, Matini W, Nelligan I, Emukule G, Scherzer M, Oyier B, et al. Are Kenyan healthcare workers willing to receive the pandemic influenza vaccine? Results from a cross sectional survey of healthcare workers in Kenya about knowledge, attitudes and practices concerning infection with and vaccination against 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1), 2010.Vaccine. 2011;29(19):361722.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[4] Achilla RA, Bulimo WD, Majanja JM, Wadegu MO, et al. Decline of Pandemic (2009) H1N1 Influenza Cases in Sentinel Surveillance Sites in Kenya January 2012 - May 2012. Isirv Conference Abstracts; 2012.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[5] Gasparini R, Amicizia D, Lai PL, Panatto D. Clinical and socioeconomic impact of seasonal and pandemic inuenza in adults and the elderly. Hum. Vaccin. Immunother. 2012;8:2128.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[6] Molinari NA, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Messonnier ML, Thompson WW, Wortley PM, Weintraub E, Bridges CB. The annual impact of seasonal inuenza in the US: Measuring disease burden and costs. Vaccine. 2007;25:50865096.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[7] Lenhart S, Wortman J. Optimal control applied to biological models. Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton; 2007.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[8] Gaff H, Schaefer E. Optimal control applied to vaccination and treatment strategies for various epidemiological models. Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering. 2009;6:469492.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[9] Jan R, Xiao Y. Effect of partial immunity on transmission dynamics of dengue disease with optimal control. Math Meth Appl Sci. 2019;42:19671983
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[10] Jan R, Xiao Y. Effect of pulse vaccination on dynamics of dengue with periodic transmission functions. Adv Differ Equ. 2019;(2019):368. Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2314-y
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[11] Jan R, Khan MA, GmezAguilar JF. Asymptomatic carriers in transmission dynamics of dengue with control interventions. Optimal Control Applications and Methods. 2020;41(2):430-447.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[12] Zaman G, Kang Y, Jung I. Stability analysis and optimal vaccination of a sir epidemic model; 2008.
- [13] Herbert WH. Optimal ages of vaccination for measles. Mathematical Biosciences. 1988;89:2952.
- [14] Tchuenche JM, Khamis SA, Agusto FB, Mpeshe SC. Optimal control and sensitivity analysis of an influenza model with treatment and vaccination; 2011.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[15] Muhammad AK, Zulfiqar A, Dennis LCC, Ilyas K, Saeed I, Murad U, Taza. Stability analysis of an SVIR Epidemic model with non-linear saturated incidence rate. Applied Mathematical Sciences. 2015;9:23:1145-1158. HIKARI Ltd Available: www.m-hikari.com

Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ams.2015.41164

- [16] Saiful Islam. Equilibriums and stability of an SVIR Epidemic model. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jatiya Kabi Kazi Nazrul Islam University, Trishal, Mymensingh, Bangladesh; 2015.
- [17] Joko H, Titik S. Local stability analysis of an SVIR Epidemic model. Mathematics Department, Cenderawasih University; 2017.
- [18] Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. 1927;115:700-721.
- [19] Van den Driessche, Watmough J. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Mathematical Biosciences. 2002;180(1-2):29- 48.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>DOI:10.1016/s0025-5564(02)00108-6

- [20] Lyapunov AM. The general problem of the stability of motion. Taylor and Francis, London; 1992.
- [21] Lasalle J. The stability of dynamical systems. Philadelphia: SIAM; 1976.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[22] Bowman C, Gumel AB. Optimal vaccination strategies for an influenza*−*like illness in a heterogeneous population. In: Gumel AB, Castillo*−*Chavez C, Mickens RE, Clemence DP, (Eds) Mathematical studies on human disease dynamics, emerging paradigms and challenges. AMS Contemporary Mathematics Book Series. 2006;410:3150.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[23] Ferguson NM, Cummings DAT, Fraser C, Cajika JC, Cooley PC, Burke DS. Strategies for mitigating an influenza pandemic. Nature. 2006;442:448452.
- [24] Linde A. The impact of specific virus diagnosis and monitoring for antiviral treatment. Antiviral Res. 2001;51:8194.
- [25] Murray CJ, Lopez AD, Chin B, Feehan D, Hill KH. Estimation of potential global pandemic influenza mortality on the basis of vital registry data from the 191820 pandemic: A quantitative analysis. Lancet. 2006;368:22112218.
- [26] Lukes DL. Differential equations: Classical to controlled, mathematics in science and engineering. Academic Press, New York; 1982.
- [27] Pontryagin LS, Boltyanskii VG, Gamkrelidze RV, Mishchenko EF. The mathematical theory of optimal control process. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York. 1986;4.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[28] Joko H, Titik S. SVIR Epidemic model with non constant population. Mathematics Department, Cenderawasih University; 2018.

<span id="page-13-5"></span> $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$  , we can consider the constant of the constant  $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$ *⃝*c *2020 Nzioki and Gatoto; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium,provided the original work is properly cited.*

#### *Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this [paper can be accessed here \(Please copy paste](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0) the total link in your browser address bar)*

*http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/59898*