



Cardiovascular Recovery and Adiposity Indices among Sedentary Young Adults Following a Structured Physical Activity

Uduonu Ekezie Mmanwanne¹, Ezeukwu Antoninus Obinna¹,
Uchenwoke Chigozie Ikenna^{1*} and Ezugwu Uchechukwu Anthonia¹

¹Department of Medical Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, College of Medicine, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author UEM designed the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the protocol. Authors EAO and UCI managed the analyses of the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author EUA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Hugo R. Ramos, Adjunct Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital de Urgencias, Córdoba, Argentina.
Reviewers:
(1) Francesca Gorini, National Research Council, Italy.
(2) Awofadeju Stephen Olajide, Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals, Nigeria.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51868>

Original Research Article

Received 01 August 2019
Accepted 04 October 2019
Published 18 October 2019

ABSTRACT

Background: Physical activity has been shown to have positive impact on health and in the long term results in improved physical fitness and quick recovery in cardiovascular parameters after sub-maximal exertion. Clinical evaluation of cardiovascular recovery as a prognostic tool for cardiovascular diseases has been subject of interest and many factors has been established to influence recovery.

Objective: This study sought to investigate the relationship between adiposity indices and cardiovascular recovery indices in sedentary young adults following a structured physical activity.

Materials and Methods: A total of two hundred and eleven (211) young adults (127 males and 84 females) participated in this study. Subjects performed a sub-maximal exercise on a cycle ergometer until they attain 60-70% of their age predicted maximum heart rate following which the heart rate and blood pressure recovery was measured after 1 minute, 3 minutes and 5 minutes. Prior to the exercise, participants' anthropometric parameters were measured and adiposity indices recorded.

Results: Results showed a significant positive correlation between waist-hip ratio and heart rate recovery, a significant negative correlation between percentage body fat and heart rate recovery, a significant positive weak correlation between body mass index and systolic blood pressure recovery. There was no significant relationship between any of the adiposity indices and diastolic blood pressure recovery. Some levels of differences exist between body adiposity and recovery indices.

Conclusion: Body adiposity should be taken into consideration in preventing the risk of cardiovascular diseases occasioned by slow recovery after physical activity.

Keywords: Adiposity; recovery indices; sedentary; physical activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Physical activity has been shown to have positive impacts on health and in the long term results in improved physical fitness and quick recovery in cardiovascular parameters after sub-maximal exertion or stress [1]. Routine physical activity reduces stress and enhances psychological wellbeing which is particularly important for prevention and management of cardiovascular diseases, among other chronic diseases [2]. It is also known to reduce risks for many adverse health outcomes. Engaging in some forms of physical activity is better than non-participation in any form of physical activity [2]; however, for most health outcomes, substantial benefits are achieved if amount of physical activity gradually increases through higher intensity, greater frequency, and/or longer duration [3]. Its health benefits mainly occur with at least 150 total minutes of moderate intensity or at least 75 min of vigorous intensity aerobic physical activity per week, though additional merits ensue with more physical activity [4].

Despite evidence in support of health benefits of regular physical activity, sedentary lifestyle is still globally prevalent [5], and has been associated with impairment of cardiovascular system. Several recommendations have been made towards tackling physical inactivity. Of these, increasing leisure-time physical activity has received enormous attention [6], notwithstanding, health challenges associated with sedentary lifestyle remain major problem and risk for global health. Risks of physical inactivity include obesity, high fatigability tendency, and unstable arterial pressure leading to hypertension and hypertensive heart disease, poor glucose metabolism leading to diabetes mellitus, atherosclerosis [7]. The current rate of these factors worldwide has been described as an epidemic or even a pandemic [8]. Routine assessment of these risks during health and medical interview is imperative to ensure

complete inspection of the cardiovascular system. This will serve a preventive measure to sudden, unexpected death on account of heart failure, cardiac arrest and stroke.

However, in assessment of cardiovascular system, measuring vital signs such as blood pressure and heart rate is prevalent while recovery indices are often neglected [9]. Recovery indices are time taken for cardiovascular parameters to return to resting value. Examples include heart rate recovery (HRR) which, simply, is the rate at which the heart rate declines from either maximal or sub-maximal exercise to resting levels, maximum oxygen consumption rate recovery which represents time taken to restore the amount of oxygen transported and used in cellular metabolism to resting or near resting value [9]. On the other hand, blood pressure recovery (BPR) is defined as the time it takes blood pressure to recover to resting position after physical stress. It has been reported to be an index of autonomic function [10]. HRR and BPR have been identified as a powerful and independent predictor of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in healthy adults with CVD and diabetes [11].

The health of the parasympathetic nervous system and the cardiovascular system post-exercise can be determined by calculating HRR during recovery from exercise [12]. Parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) activity declines as one ages leading to a slower HRR but can be improved through regular exercise [13]. Coronary artery disease pre-hypertension, hypertension, and all-cause mortality is strongly associated with an abnormal HRR [14,15,16] and could be associated with insufficient stimulation of the PNS after exercise. Similar to HRR, abnormal BPR values have been shown to be linked to cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality [17].

Over the last several years, clinical evaluation of heart rate recovery as a prognostic tool for CVD has been subject of interest [18]. HRR has also been reported to be a remarkable complement to the medical and physical assessment of an individual. Heart rate recovery to resting level can take one hour after light or moderate exercise, four hours after long-duration aerobic exercise, and even up to 24 hours after intense or maximal exercise [19], depending on interactions of factors such as exercise intensity, body composition and level of physical fitness [20,21,22,23].

Heart rate recovery (HRR) and blood pressure recovery (BPR) are fairly popular topics among researchers looking at their effect on the specific mechanisms behind the phenomenon. Few studies, however, have been conducted in sedentary young adults. The overall functionality and integrity of the cardiovascular system are better captured by recovery indices than mere rise and fall in cardiovascular parameters [24]. For example, analysis of heart rate variability before and after exercise can provide useful information about autonomic control of the cardiovascular system [25].

In addition, cardiovascular parameters are very individualistic, and this further limits the utility of traditional measures of variability in prognosticating health or clinical outcome. Recovering from stress/exertion is associated with reduced body activation level when parasympathetic activation dominates the autonomic nervous system over sympathetic activity [26]. It is therefore important to provide applied measures of cardiovascular integrity such as heart rate recovery, blood pressure recovery index and its relationship with body adiposity among others which take into consideration the dynamic changes in autonomic activity and individuality of persons.

2. METHODS

Two hundred and eleven (211) young adults consisting of 127 males and 84 females who were found to be sedentary upon assessment with international physical activity questionnaire participated in this study. The study was conducted in the gymnasium of the Department of Medical Rehabilitation, University of Nigeria, Enugu Campus. The study was approved by the University Ethical Committee. All participants filled and signed a consent declaration authorizing their participation.

3. PROCEDURES

Participant's level of physical activity was evaluated using International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Prior to the study, participants were assessed for readiness to participate in the study using Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaires (PAR-Q). Anthropometric indices were evaluated as follows:

Body Mass Index (BMI): The researcher measured the heights of the participants to the nearest centimetre using the stadiometer (Secca, England). Doing this, the researcher ensured that the participants stood erect and barefoot, with their backs touching the stadiometer, their arms held laterally by their sides and with the two feet closely apposed. Also, the weight of each participant was measured using a weighing scale (Beurer, Germany), while the participant was putting on light clothing to avoid errors.

The Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated from the weight (kg) and height (m^2) ($weight/height^2$).

Waist-Hip Ratio: Waist and hip circumferences were measured using a tape measure (butterfly brand, China) and duplicate measurements were taken at each site and were obtained in a rotational order. The waist-hip ratio was calculated by dividing the waist circumference (cm) by the hip (cm).

Percentage Body Fat: Percentage body fat was obtained using a Bi-Impedance Electronic Fat Analyser (Beurer, Germany). The height, age, gender and level of physical activity of the participants were inputted into the analyser and the participant steps on the fat analyser bare footed after which the percentage body fat was read off.

After obtaining the anthropometric indices, participants were made to rest for 10 minutes after which the resting blood pressure and heart rate were measured using an electronic sphygmomanometer. The maximum heart rate of each participant was evaluated by subtracting their age from 220 (220-age) and target heart rate obtained by calculating 60-70 percent of the maximum heart rate. The participants cycled in a bicycle ergometer (Egojin JK 2100, Korea) until they reached their individual target heart rates. Once the target heart rate is reached, the participant stops and the recovery heart rate and blood pressure is measured after 1 minute, 3 minutes and 5 minutes.

4. STATISTICAL DATA ANALYSIS

Data was analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, U.S.A.). The normality of the distributions was assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Parametric and non-parametric statistics were selected accordingly. Descriptive statistics of frequency and percentages was used to summarize and present the data obtained. Pearson Correlation and Spearman's analysis was used to test the relationship between the recovery indices and each of anthropometric indices. Level of significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

5. RESULTS

A total of two hundred and eleven (211) individuals participated in this study. The participants have a mean age, BMI, Percentage body fat, Waist Hip ratio, Resting Heart Rate, Systolic blood pressure recovery index and Diastolic blood pressure recovery index of 22.76 ± 4.87 years, 23.01 ± 3.63 (KG/M^2), 25.98 ± 3.63 , $0.85 \pm 0.12\text{cm}$, $76.25 \pm 11.62\text{BPM}$, $116.93 \pm 13.26\text{mmHg}$ and 76.11 ± 9.69 mmHg respectively (table 1).

Significant positive weak correlation exists among WHR & HRR1 ($r=0.201$, $p=0.004$), WHR & HRR2 ($r=0.240$, $p=0.240$), WHR & HRR5 ($r=0.250$, $p=0.000$). However, there is a significant negative weak correlation between %BF & HRR1 ($r = -0.16$, $p=0.019$), % BF &

HRR2 ($r= -0.212$, $p=0.002$), %BF & HRR5 ($r= -0.267$, $p=0.000$). There is a non-significant negative correlation between BMI & HRR1, BMI & HRR2 and BMI & HRR5 (table 2).

There is a significant positive weak correlation between BMI & SBPRI ($r=0.148$, $p=0.032$), %BF & SBPRI ($r=0.132$, $p=0.032$) while a non-significant negative weak correlation exists between WHR & SBPRI ($r= -0.06$, $p=0.0383$), WHR & DBPRI ($r= -0.008$, $p= 0.383$), WHR & DBPRI ($r= -0.008$, $p=0.912$). There is also a non-significant positive weak correlation between BMI & SBPRI ($r=0.061$, $p=0.381$), %BF & DBPRI ($r=0.088$, $p=0.206$) (Table 3).

6. DISCUSSION

HRR has been shown to be independently related to indices of obesity-BMI and WHR and strengthen the usefulness of these anthropometric indices in predicting cardiovascular risks as illustrated by Dimkpa and Oji [27]. It is surprising that HRR significantly correlated positively with WHR since it is well known that overweight and obesity are associated with cardiovascular diseases and increased mortality and morbidity. The prognostic significance of HRR after exercise as a risk factor for all-cause and cardiac mortality in healthy subjects has been demonstrated. Furthermore, obesity is a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of physical characteristics of the participants (N=211) showing the mean and standard deviation

Characteristics	Mean	\pm SD
Age (Years)	22.76 \pm	4.87
Body Mass Index (KG/M^2)	23.01	± 3.63
Percentage Body Fat (%)	25.98	± 5.95
Waist Hip Ratio (cm)	0.85	± 0.12
Resting Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	116.93	± 13.26
Resting Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	76.11	± 9.69
Resting Heart Rate (BPM)	76.25	± 11.62
Heart Rate after 1 minute	102.48	± 17.98
Heart Rate after 3 minutes	94.01	± 14.70
Heart Rate after 5 minutes	92.84	± 13.54
Systolic Blood Pressure after 1 minute	143.19	± 19.98
Systolic Blood Pressure after 3 minutes	128.80	± 16.92
Systolic Blood Pressure after 5 minutes	120.77	± 15.86
Diastolic Blood Pressure after 1 minutes	76.97	± 10.91
Diastolic Blood Pressure after 3 minutes	74.64	± 10.25
Diastolic Blood Pressure after 5 minutes	73.83	± 10.71
Heart Rate Recovery Index1	26.82	± 19.82
Heart Rate Recovery Index3	35.32	± 17.13
Heart Rate Recovery Index5	36.49	± 16.63
Systolic Blood Pressure Recovery Index	13.03	± 18.03

Table 2. Relationship between anthropometric parameters and heart rate recovery indices

	Variables	R-value	p-value
HRR1 1	WHR	0.201	0.004*
	BMI	-0.06	0.931
	%BF	-0.16	0.019*
HRR3	WHR	0.240	0.000*
	BMI	-0.015	0.832
	%BF	-0.212	0.002*
HRR5	WHR	0.250	0.000*
	BMI	-0.051	0.464
	%BF	-0.267	0.000*

Key: HRR1 1-Heart Rate Recovery Index after 1 minute, HRR3- Heart Rate Recovery Index after 3 minutes, HRR5- Heart Rate Recovery Index after 5 minutes, WHR-Waist-Hip-Ratio, BMI-Body Mass Index, %BF-Percentage Body Fat

Table 3. Relationship between anthropometric parameters and blood pressure recovery indices

	Variables	Rho	p-value
SBPRI	WHR	-0.060	0.383
	BMI	0.148	0.032*
	%BF	0.132	0.032*
DBPRI	WHR	-0.008	0.912
	BMI	0.061	0.381
	%BF	0.088	0.206

Key: SBPRI-Systolic Blood Pressure Recovery Index, DBPRI-Diastolic Blood Pressure Recovery Index, WHR-Waist-Hip-Ratio, BMI-Body Mass Index, %BF-Percentage Body Fat

The present study correlated %BF and BMI, an established risk factor for cardiovascular function with HRR and found that HRR has a significant negative correlation with %BF and non-significant negative correlation with BMI. This finding is consistent with the findings of Redzal et al [28]. Poliakova et al [29] showed that %BF presented an independent association with heart rate variability. Jezdimirovic et al [30] reported a strong positive trend between %BF and HRR, this is not consistent with this study. While they considered only non-obese children and adolescence in their study, we involved young sedentary adults and this may be the cause of the disagreement in results. Another possible reason is the difference in exercise protocols used in both studies as well as level of physical fitness of the participants. Difference in exercise protocols have shown to affect HRR outcome [31].

During any form of exercise, increase in heart rate is due to gradual withdrawal of parasympathetic activity while further increment in heart rate is caused by sympathetic action [32]. Heart rate recovery at 1 minute is due to vagal reactivation while 2 minutes and beyond

are due to combination of vagal reactivation, clearance of metabolites and reduction in sympathetic action [33].

Blood pressure is somewhat proportional to blood flow [34]. During exercise, blood pressure increases in a stepwise progression as the exercise intensity increases in order to meet up with the oxygen demand of muscles [35]. Systolic blood pressure typically rises by 10±2 mmHg per metabolic equivalent (MET) increase and can reach the maximum at peak exercise while diastolic BP changes slightly or does not change at all [34].

Clinical evaluation of Systolic Blood Pressure Recovery (SBPR) as a tool for making prognosis of various cardiovascular abnormalities in patients undergoing structured physical activity has received numerous attention and delay in SBPR has shown to be associated with increased risk of angina, hypertension and stroke [36]. SBPR has also been linked to age, physical fitness and heart rate recovery. In the present study, the researcher collected data from apparently healthy sedentary young adults with similar age distribution and found SBPR values

after exercise consistent with Fletcher et al [4] and Wielemborek-musial et al [37]. The SBPR after first minute obtained in this study was somewhat consistent with the findings of Dimkpa and Ugwu [11].

This study found a significant positive correlation between SBPR and BMI as well as percentage body fat. This is in agreement with Dimkpa and Ugwu [11] where BMI was found to be associated positively ($r = 0.106$; $p < 0.01$) with SBPR. The present findings in which SBPR is positively correlated with risk factors that increase cardiovascular risks strengthen the previously reported significance of SBPR after exercise test as a prognostic tool for the evaluation of cardiovascular abnormalities. Changes in SBPR are thought to be due to changes in systemic vascular resistance [36]. It may also be due to changes in sympathetic and parasympathetic activities and baroreflex sensitivity [17]. Our findings suggest that an increase in level of adiposity will lead to increase in SBPR (slower SBPR) and vice versa. It also suggests that BMI and percentage body fat were the better obesity index that explained variations in SBPR in sedentary adults following a structured physical activity. This study did not find any correlation between SBPR and waist to hip ratio. A study by Dimkpa and Ugwu, [11] found WHR to be the best predictor of SBPR in females. This is not in agreement with our findings and could be because of the higher number of males used in our study compared to females. It could also be due to differences in the exercise protocol used by both studies.

Findings from this study showed a non-significant correlation between Diastolic Blood Pressure Recovery and each of Body Mass Index, Percentage Body Fat and Waist-Hip Ratio. While the researcher is aware that diastolic blood pressure seldom increases during exercise, there may be a drop in diastolic blood pressure during the recovery phase of exercise due to vasodilatation. To the best of the researcher's knowledge, no study has been conducted linking diastolic blood pressure recovery to indices of adiposity.

7. CONCLUSION

Some levels of differences exist between body adiposity and recovery indices. Body adiposity should be taken into consideration in preventing the risk of cardiovascular diseases occasioned by slow recovery after physical activity.

8. LIMITATIONS

This study presents some limitations, the measuring instrument used for determining the percentage body fat was not the gold standard. Dual X-ray absorptiometry or hydrostatic weighing would have given a more reliable estimate of body composition. Finally, there was no randomization in the selection of participants; rather, participants were purposely recruited. Further studies should be carried out on recovery indices of sedentary adults using a larger sample size and randomising different body compositions.

CONSENT

All participants filled and signed a consent declaration authorizing their participation.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The Ethical approval was obtained from College of Medicine Research Ethic committee, University of Nigeria and was preserved by the author.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Haskell WL, Lee IM, Pate RR, Powell KE, Blair SN, Franklin BA, Macera CA, Heath GW, Thompson PD, Bauman A. Physical activity and public health. Updated recommendations for adults from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2007;116:1081–1093.
2. Warburton DER, Nicol CW, Bredin SSD. Health benefits of physical activity: The evidence. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2006;174(6):801–809. Available:<http://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.051351>.
3. American College of Sports Medicine. Guidelines for exercise testing and prescription, 6th Edn. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore; 2000.
4. Fletcher GF, Balady GJ, Amsterdam AE, Chaitman B, Robert E, Jerome F. Exercise standards for testing and training. A statement for health care professionals from the American heart association. *Circulation*. 2001;104:1694-1740.

5. World Health Organisation. A guide for population-based approaches to increasing levels of physical activity. Implementation of the WHO Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health; 2007.
Available:[http://www.who.int/entity/dietphysicalactivity/PA-promotionguide.pdf/](http://www.who.int/entity/dietphysicalactivity/PA-promotionguide.pdf)
6. Rütten A, Abu-Omar K, Gelius P, Schow D. Physical inactivity as a policy problem: Applying a concept from policy analysis to a public health issue. *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2013;11:9.
Available:<http://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-11-9>.
7. Lees SJ, Booth FW. Sedentary death syndrome. *Canadian Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2004;29:447–460.
8. Shook RP, Hand GA, Drenowatz C, Hebert JR, Paluch AE, Blundell JE, Hill JO, Katzmarzyk PT, Church TS, Blair SN. Low levels of physical activity are associated with dysregulation of energy intake and fat mass gain over 1 year. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2015; 102(6):1332-8.
9. Dimkpa U. Post-exercise heart rate recovery: An index of cardiovascular fitness. *Journal Exercise Physiology Online*. 2009;12:19.
Available:<http://www.asep.org/asep/asep/JEPonlineFebruary2009.html>.
10. Ellis K, Pothier CE, Blackstone EH, Lauer MS. Is systolic blood pressure recovery after exercise a predictor of mortality? *Am Heart Journal*. 2004;147(2):287-292.
11. Dimkpa U, Ugwu AC. Age-related differences in systolic blood pressure recovery after a maximal-effort exercise test in non-athletic adults. *International Journal of Exercise Science*. 2008;1(4):142-152.
12. Arai Y, Saul JP, Albrecht P, Hartley LH, Lilly LS, Cohen RJ, Colucci WS. Modulation of cardiac autonomic activity during and immediately after exercise. *American Journal of Physiology – Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 1989;256:H132-H141.
13. Freeman JV, Dewey FE, Hadley DM, Myers J, Froelicher VF. Autonomic nervous system interaction with the cardiovascular system during exercise. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2006;48(5):342-362.
14. Akyuz A, Alpsoy S, Akkoyun DC, Degirmenci H, Guler N. Heart rate recovery may predict the presence of coronary artery disease. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg*. 2014;14:351-356.
15. Aneni E, Roberson L, Shaharyar S, Blaha M, Agatston A, Blumenthal R, Meneghelo R, Conceicao R, Nasir K, Santos R. Delayed heart rate recovery is associated with early and late-stage prehypertension during exercise stress testing. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 2014;27(4):514-521.
16. Arbit B, Azarbal B, Hayes S, Gransar H, Germano G, Friedman J, Thomson L, Berman D. Prognostic contribution of exercise capacity, heart rate recovery, blood pressure recovery in healthy adults. *International Journal of Exercise Science*. 2015;116:1678-1684.
17. Laukkanen J, Kurl S, Salonen R, Lakka T, Rauramaa R, Salonen J. Systolic blood pressure during recovery from exercise and the risk of acute myocardial infarction in middle-aged men. *Hypertension*. 2004;44:820-825.
18. Mahdavi AL, Ghanbari-Firoozabadi M, Ansari Z, Emami M, VafaiiNasab M, Nemaiande M, Neishaboury M. Effect of Cardiac Rehabilitation Program on Heart Rate Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease. *The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center*. 2015;10(4):176–181.
19. Terziotti P, Schena F, Gulli G, Cevese A. Post-exercise recovery of autonomic cardiovascular control: a study by spectrum and cross-spectrum analysis in humans. *Eur Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2001;84:187-194.
20. Mann TN, Webster C, Lamberts RP, Lambert MI. Effect of exercise intensity on post-exercise oxygen consumption and heart rate recovery. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2014; 114(9):1809-20. DOI: 10.1007/s00421-014-2907-9.
21. Abu HR, Mohamed MNA, Jaafar Z, Abdul Mohsein NAS, Jalaludin MY, Abdul MH, Su TT. The correlates of body composition with heart rate recovery after step test: An exploratory study of Malaysian adolescents. *PlosOne*. 2013;8(12):e82893. Available:<http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082893>
22. Blüher S, Molz E, Wiegand S, Otto KP, Sergejev E, Tuschy S, L'Allemand-Jander D, Kiess W, Holl RW. Body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio as predictors of cardiometabolic risk

- in childhood obesity depending on pubertal development. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2013; 98(8):338493.
23. Kang D, Kim Y, Kim J, Hwang Y, Cho B, Hong T, Lee Y. Effects of high occupational physical activity, aging, and exercise on heart rate variability among male workers. *Annals of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2015;27(22). DOI:10.1186/s40557-015-0073-0.
 24. Borresen J, Lambert MI. Autonomic control of heart rate during and after exercise: Measurements and implications for monitoring training status. *Sports Medicine*. 2008;38(8):633-646.
 25. Jouven X, Empana JP, Schwartz PJ, Desnos M, Courbon D, Ducimetière P. Heart-rate profile during exercise as a predictor of sudden death. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(19):1951-8.
 26. Acharya S. Factors affecting stress among Indian dental students. *Journal of Dental Education*. 2003;67:1140-1148.
 27. Dimkpa U, Oji J. Association of heart rate recovery after exercise with indices of obesity in healthy, non-obese adults. *European journal of applied physiology*. 2009;34:35-62.
 28. Radzel AH, Mohamed MN, Jaafar Z, Mohsein NA, Jalaludin MY, Majid HA, Murray L, Cantwell M, Su TT. The correlates of body composition with heart rate recovery after step test: An exploratory study of Malaysian adolescents. *Plos one*. 2013;8(12):e82893. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082893
 29. Poliakova N, Després JP, Bergeron J. Influence of obesity indices, metabolic parameters and age on cardiac autonomic function in abdominally obese men. *Metabolism*. 2012;61:1270-1279.
 30. Jezdimirovic T, Stajer V, Semeredi S, Calleja-Gonzalez J, Ostojic SM. Does body fat percentage predict post-exercise heart rate response in non-obese children and adolescents? *Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology Metabolism*. 2017;30(6): 629-633. DOI:10.1515/jpem-2016-0468.
 31. Buchheit M, Al Haddad H, Laursen PB, Ahmaidi S. Effect of body posture on postexercise parasympathetic reactivation in men. *ExpPhysiol*. 2009;94:795-804. DOI:10.1113/expphysiol.2009.048041
 32. Falcone C, Buzzi MP, Klersy C, Schwartz PJ. Rapid heart rate increase at onset of exercise predicts adverse cardiac events in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation*. 2005;112:1959-1964.
 33. Laguna M, Aznar S, Lara MT, Lucía A, Ruiz JR. Heart rate recovery is associated with obesity traits and related cardiometabolic risk factors in children and adolescents. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2013;23:995-1001.
 34. Sharman JE, LaGerche A. Exercise blood pressure: Clinical relevance and correct measurement. *Journal of Human Hypertension*. 2015;29(1):351-358.
 35. Schultz MG, Otahal P, Cleland VJ, Blizzard L, Marwick TH, Sharman JE. Exercise-induced hypertension, cardiovascular events, and mortality in patients undergoing exercise stress testing: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 2012;26(3):357-366.
 36. Kurl S, Laukkanen J, Rauramaa R, Lakka T, Sivenius J, Salonen J. Systolic blood pressure response to exercise stress test and risk of stroke. *Stroke*. 2001;32:2036-2041.
 37. Wielemborek-Musial K, Szmigielska K, Leszczynska J, Jegier A. Blood pressure response to submaximal exercise test in adults. *BioMed Research International*. 2016:1-8.

© 2019 Mmanwanne et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/51868>